



S.C. Balloting To Begin Thursday

Farina, Harrison Discuss Issues, Evaluate Selves

by Allen R. Snyder
Editor-in-Chief

PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES Joe Farina and Rick Harrison confronted each other in an exclusive HATCHET interview held last Saturday. The discussion which followed provided the candidates with an opportunity to discuss their platforms as well as their own conceptions of themselves and the significant issues in the campaign. An edited transcript of the discussion follows.

HATCHET. Why are you running for the office of president of the Student Council?

FARINA. I am running for the office of president of the Student Council because I believe that there is a leadership vacuum at the University in relation to student government and I believe that I have the background in which to take care of that leadership vacuum—supply the leadership which is necessary here for the student government.

HARRISON. I'm running for student body president because I feel that the student government at the George Washington University, and student government in general, is an integral part of the University life and should play a major part in University decisions and activities in the growth and progress of the school.

I think student government has had experiences with fine leadership—I think a good portion of the last term exemplifies the finer aspects in student government.

And I feel my experience in that aspect, in that last term, my experience with the Administration and faculty, my relationship with the issues that are involved now and the needs of the University, and the performance I think I have made as freshman

(Continued on page B4)



Joe Farina



Rick Harrison

SC Upholds Miss Moore's Plea Despite Attempts To Overrule

AN EMERGENCY MEETING of the Student Council was called by President Skip Gnehm last Saturday to rule on the validity of Linda Moore's candidacy for the vice presidency.

The controversy arose on Friday when, at 4:55 p.m., five minutes before the petitioning for Student Council office was to close, Miss Moore petitioned for the office of vice president.

Bob Wallace, Council advocate and chairman of the elections committee, accepted the petition. That evening at 11 o'clock Miss Moore was notified that she could not run on the grounds that the qualifications for the vice-presidency state that the holder of that office must have completed four semesters and 48 hours at the University.

While Miss Moore has completed fifty hours, she has been a student at GW for two fall semesters, one spring semester and attended one summer session in 1964. On a 3-1 vote,

the committee had decided that the summer session did not constitute a semester.

At 12:30 a.m., Miss Moore was informed that two members of the committee had reversed their votes and that she was a candidate. At 1 pm Saturday, at the candidates meeting, Miss Moore was informed by Gnehm that she was not eligible to run. But, at a special meeting at 2 pm the election committee reaffirmed its earlier decision in approval of her candidacy.

Upon appeal of the Committee's decision by chairman Bob Wallace, Gnehm called the emergency meeting of the Student Council to decide the issue. Fourteen members of the Council were present at the meeting which opened with a motion by Comptroller Murray Levy to move into executive session. The vote was 6 to 5 in favor, but lacked the 2/3 majority necessary for the ac-

tion which would have excluded all observers from the meeting. Absent from the meeting were: Ron Ullrich, treasurer, Brian O'Dwyer, member-at-large; Mike Enzi, School of Government representative; Bob Mullen, School of Engineering representative; and Tom Noonan, foreign students representative.

Minutes from the previous meeting and old business were dispensed with and, after calling for new business, the chair entertained a motion by Wallace to overrule the decision of the elections committee.

Gnehm opened the discussion by giving the background of the case. He stated that according to University Registrar Frederick Houser, the academic year consists of "two semesters and two summer sessions," the semesters being defined as "the period of time from September to January and from February to May."

Vice President Ken West, a member of the elections committee, voiced the majority opinion of the committee stating that while the constitutional issue must be considered, there were extenuating circumstances that could not be ignored. He pointed out the inconvenience caused to Miss Moore by the inability of the elections committee to stick to a decision and that she had been connected with the University just as long as

(Continued on page B15)

Elections

3 Amendments Face Referendum

(Continued from page A1)

tino and Mike Wolly are running for Crawford Hall representative. Contesting for Supdorm representative number 4 are Ronda Billig, Viki Goff and Linda Schultz.

Cherryl Nell, who was unopposed Saturday for School of Education representative, was disqualified by the Council elections committee. Bob Wallace, Council advocate and chairman of the elections committee, explained that Miss Nell, a sophomore, was not enrolled in the School of Education, though her major field is pre-education. The Articles of Student Government require that a candidate for school representative be enrolled in that school at the time of election and throughout his term of office.

Consequently, petitioning for the School of Education representative was reopened until 12 noon Monday.

The elections committee, composed of Bob Wallace, advocate; Ken West, vice president; John Fridlington, activities director; John Gordon, Upper Columbian representative; and Bruce Jetton, former activities director, is responsible for ruling on the validity of petitions for Council offices.

Three amendments to the Articles of Student Government will be presented to the students for a referendum vote during the elections. One establishes an executive committee which would set up the agenda for Council meetings and approve recommendations of the activities committee.

Another amendment would give the program director, with the approval of the activities committee, the authority to select all talent for Student Council events. The third would tighten the attendance requirements at Council meetings.

In order to pass, the referendum must be approved by a majority of those voting on it. A minimum of three hundred votes must be cast before the referendum is considered valid.

The record turnout for voting in Student Council elections was set in 1959 when 2048 students, 25 per cent of the student body, came to the polls. The all-time voting high came during the 1964 mock election between Johnson and Goldwater. Votes were cast by 2649 students.



LINDA MOORE discusses the decision of a special meeting of the Student Council which overruled the elections committee and allowed her to run for the vice-presidency. Left to right are: President Skip Gnehm, candidate for Lower Columbian representative Charles Orr, Council secretary Marietta Remot and Miss Moore.

Election Schedule

Tuesday, Feb. 8

Forum for campus-wide offices, school offices, and Crawford Hall representative; 10 pm, Crawford Hall.

Wednesday, Feb. 9

Forum for campus-wide offices, school offices, and Adams Hall representative; 10 pm, Adams Hall.

Thursday, Feb. 10

Voting for Student Council offices; 9 am-8 pm, Woodhull C.

Friday, Feb. 11

Voting for Student Council offices; 9 am - 5 pm, Woodhull C.

Saturday, Feb. 12

Announcement of winners of Student Council offices at Inaugural Concert intermission. Begins 8:30 pm.

62 Candidates Reveal

President

JOE FARINA

I have offered my candidacy in order to fill the leadership vacuum at GWU. Because mere mundane experience has never been an adequate substitute for effective leadership, I feel there is a need for me to run. I will fill the leadership vacuum at GW by providing the impetus for dynamic action in the following areas.

As President, I would: 1) guarantee that each student would pay the same tuition for all four years. 2) provide a financial statement to show how University funds were spent. 3) permit students to select one non-graded elective course each semester. 4) extend the prefinals study period. 5) seek weekend hours for women residents during all vacations and 6) involve GW students in the greater Washington community.

Having served as Student Body President at two other schools, I possess the background necessary to execute my program. That background includes having led community service efforts on two continents, and headed the GW Blood Drive, and the Greater Washington Red Cross Intercollegiate Committee.

I realize the need for service. As Chairman of the 1965 Community Service Workshop, I coordinated personnel from eleven different area universities.

As a member of ODK, Order of Scarlet, and Delta Phi Epsilon honorary, I realize the need for academic excellence.

I am a junior political science major with a 3.1 QPI and am a brother of Sigma Alpha Epsilon social fraternity.

Because the leadership vacuum must be filled, I ask your support for President.

RICK HARRISON

I am Freshman Director of the Student Council, Co-Chairman of Parents' Weekend, a member of ODK, Order of Scarlet, Phi Eta Sigma, and Alpha Theta Nu. I am a Trustee Scholar, history major, with a 3.32 QPI.

My other activities include Vice-President of Aesculapian Society, WRGW, Adams Hall Council, University Pep Band, Professor Evaluation Committee, International Students' Society, and Student Council Planning Commission Staff. I am an independent.

If elected, I intend immediate negotiations with the administration on basic university problems, which include: a four-year tuition contract to guarantee that students can meet the full cost of education; and a program for men inducted from college to the armed services, to insure their return to GW at reasonable tuition rates.

I also advocate student participation in scheduling exams and vacations, revocation of University "Blue Laws" regarding curfews and alcoholic beverages in residence halls and at University functions, according to DC law; full support of the University athletic program to make it self-sustaining; and elimination of excessive bookstore profits.

I would also like to see late hours for parking facilities and all-day tickets; voluntary partial meal plan; non-graded PE courses; incorporation of GW into the community with speaker programs, D.C. Orientation, and SERVE; Council forums to inform the student body; total reorganization of Council finances; a nominal activities fee; a council of organization representatives and expansion of the Professor Evaluation Report.

Vice President

RALPH GREBOW

I am a Junior, majoring in history, with an overall QPI of 2.6 and a 3.33 average in my major. I am currently serving on the Student Council as Chairman of the Student Union Board. I have participated in the planning for 1964 and 1965 Fall Concerts and was Program Chairman of the 1965 Inaugural Concert.

Also I was Facilities Chairman of the 1964 Career Conference and have been an Old Man for the past two years. I am a mem-



Ralph Grebow

ber of the Alpha Epsilon Pi social fraternity.

My platform includes instituting a full time doctor and nurse service, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, a Central Test File, and an expansion of the Teachers Evaluation Survey with a reevaluation every three years.

I also plan to organize a committee to study the facilities in the library and to present immediate recommendations for its improvement, an immediate improvement of the food service, constant all-night study facilities, and Friday afternoon social mixers including all members of the University.

The basis of my appeal to the voters is experience and competence.

LINDA MOORE

Regardless of the fact that this year's Council has upheld many new vital policies and ideas, I believe that on many specific issues the Council has given the student body nothing but excuses. To make sure that the students are kept informed, the Council must be staffed with new personnel. This would inject a new dynamism into the workings of the Council. I feel that my ideas qualify me to be vice president.

Under the new constitution, the vice president assumes a more



Linda Moore

encompassing role than merely an assistant to the president. The vice president should be a "double-checker." I would check the schedules of all Council ac-

tivities; to avoid conflicts. I would work to increase communication between Council and students by encouraging individual Council members to discuss their activities with their constituents. I will work to integrate independents with Greeks by initiating "closed night" social events at Superdorm. The University should publish its fiscal projections at least 5 years in advance, especially tuition raises, and should publish the intended distribution of funds.

I am a sophomore, international affairs major, with a 2.7 QPI and a member of Chi Omega. I was freshman dorm representative; Homecoming Queen's chairman 1965; Panhellenic delegate, publicity chairman, and activities orientation chairman; and innovator of the Greek-Way newspaper.

Secretary

CAROL LEHTONEN

I feel that the office of Secretary is not a political one and, therefore, I can make no political promises. However, I can offer what an administrative job calls for: efficiency.

The students deserve a well-run Student Council and I hope to contribute to making it just that. In my three years at GW I have served as a member of the Student Planning Commission, the Professor Evaluation Board, and Big Sis.

I have been secretary of the Russian Language Club and activities chairman of my sorority, Kappa Alpha Theta. I am a Junior



Carol Lehtonen

majoring in speech therapy and maintain a QPI of 2.4. I feel that the Student Council is representative of the students and that the students should use it as an instrument to get what they want accomplished.

I hope to keep up the minutes and correspondence of a moving Student Council and that is why I would appreciate your votes on February 10th and 11th.

BARBARA BERNSTEIN

I view the position of student council secretary on two levels: the first is one of secretarial functions; the second is the position of a voting member and integral part of an efficient student council.

The secretary of the student council must establish an efficient medium of contact between the student body and its council. Furthermore, student council minutes must be accurate and the filing system must be thorough and complete.

I would record the meetings by tape and then type, distribute, and file all minutes. This system would insure efficiency.

As a member of the student council I would pursue the following issues: establishing a partial food plan, extending senior women's privileges, improving parking facilities, establishing an honors or tutorial program,

and improving student services.

I am a sophomore majoring in speech therapy, QPI 3.11. I am a delegate to the Panhellenic



Barbara Bernstein

Council, parliamentarian of Delta Gamma Sorority; I have worked for the student book exchange and student discount service and have had office experience.

Treasurer

MURRAY LEVY

I am a Junior in the School of Government with a major in accounting. My QPI is 2.57. A member of University organizations as Order of Scarlet, Campus Combo, the Hatchet, and am the Comptroller of the present Student Council.



Murray Levy

As Treasurer, I advocate the initiation of the following plans to make the role of the Treasurer more efficient and effective. I support the centralization of monetary control under the auspices of the treasurer, the coordination of administration and Student Council financial policies, and a greater advisory role for the Treasurer of the council.

The treasurer is the one person who has all the financial data at his fingertips, and thus he is the logical person to aid those making financial decisions.

I offer valuable experience in financial affairs. My major in accounting combined with my previous council experience will enable me to take an integral advisory role in the council.

Activities Dir.

ART HONANYAN

I am a candidate for Activities Director. The Activities Director is the Chairman of the Activities Committee which is in charge of executing all Student Council functions.

I am a Junior and am majoring in international affairs. I am also an independent with a QPI of 3.4 and hold a four-year General Motors Scholarship. The Stu-

ents for Better Government has endorsed my candidacy.

In Fall, 1965, I served as co-chairman of the Homecoming Committee. I am a member of the Order of Scarlet (the Activities Honorary) and Phi Eta Sigma (the Scholastic Honorary).

I decided to run for this particular office because the great potential of the Activities Director has not been developed in past years. I would first like to start a talent file under the Activities Committee, listing the high school and college activities of every undergraduate.

This file would be used for reaching students with a parti-



A. Honanyan

cular talent for membership in various student council committees. Secondly, I would appoint a research staff under the Activities Committee to expand and develop student activities to their maximum capacity.

Third, I would like to work for reduced student admission for all events held at Linsner.

STEVE PERLO

The students of this school are tired of excuses and buckpassing. They are tired of inaction and in-direction. Student Council government has become characterized by financial irresponsibility, lack of imagination in student programs, failure to initiate any new programs, and a general complacency which has developed into a "good enough" attitude to the student body.

As activities director I believe I can bring a new dynamism to the problems of student government. We must break away from the mediocre and stereotyped programs of the past. I will strive to do this by making wider use of off-campus facilities such as Constitution Hall and local hotels.

I will try to secure big name entertainment through earlier planning and innovation. Part of my program includes more imaginative all university activities



Steve Perlo

such as a jazz concert and a mid-winter ball.

I believe my record of accomplishment as chairman of Booster Board, and my many other acti-

Issues, Qualifications

vities including People to People, Hillel, and subchairmanship of Spring Concert, 1965, has demonstrated my ability to lead, organize, and get a job done. I am a junior majoring in history with a QPI of 3.08. I am a member of the Order of Scarlet, and a recipient of a certificate of appreciation from the Student Council. I am a member of the Alpha Epsilon Pi Fraternity, serving as rush chairman this past year.

Program Director

LOU COLAGUORI

Although I am running unopposed, I feel it is my obligation to familiarize the Student Body with my qualifications and platform so they know what to expect from me when I assume office.

I am a junior, majoring in Political Science with a QPI of 2.20. Some of my activities include Lower Columbian Representative to Student Council and Publicity Chairman of Booster Board. I have also been a yell-leader and Activities Director of Phi Sigma Delta Fraternity. A successful program of Student Council events is a primary way of evaluating a council's effectiveness. As Program Director I will keep a careful watch over each Council event to guarantee they are executed properly.



Lou Colaguori

The Program Director is also responsible for selecting talent for all Student Council events. The talent selected should mirror the Student Body's desires and tastes and as Program Director I will sample student opinion on a variety of questions concerning Student Council programs.

Freshman Director

ROBIN KAYE

I am a candidate for Freshman Director. During my three semesters at GW I have been elected to membership in Order of Scarlet as well as member-at-large of the GW Young Democrats.

I am chairman of the Community Service Coordinating Committee and the recipient of three GW Scholarships as well as

two high school scholarships. Two weeks ago, I was elected Recorder of Sigma Alpha Epsilon.

I am majoring in Political Science, maintain a 3.0 QPI and will graduate in 1968. My major ideas for Orientation involve separating it from rush by



Robin Kaye

moving Orientation ahead, improving Old Men by placing it under the auspices of the Freshman Director and finally, eliminating one of the two main assemblies.

These programs will create an improved freshman-upperclassman relationship as well as freshman-faculty relationships.

LARRY TABICK

In my two years here at George Washington, I have served as chairman of The University Forum; assistant to the member-at-large of Student Council; and member of the Council's Committee on Registration.

Academically, I am a sophomore with a QPI of 2.35, and I am presently majoring in the Arts and Letters curriculum. I am a member of the Kappa Sigma fraternity.

Since I view the student as the essential reason for the existence of the University, I believe that the Student Council, as the representative of the student body, should have an active voice in the determination of University policy.

The office of Freshman Director offers the opportunity to



Larry Tabick

involve incoming students in the search for solutions to University

problems. I view this process of involving the incoming students as the major goal of the Freshman Director.

Student Union Board

GREG MILLARD

I am a sophomore Independent, majoring in American Thought and Civilization with a 2.6 QPI. While at GW, I have served as a member of the Adams Hall Dorm Council, a member of the executive committee of Students for Better Government, and treasurer of the Enosinian Debate Society.

I would like to see the Student Union Board become a functioning part of Student Council. These are my proposals for making the Student Union a center of student activity.

Concerning the food service, I would like to see a partial meal plan for non-residents, more efficient service, faster lines, better food, extension of breakfast hours to 9:30, and increased cooperation between students and Slater's.

In the area of Student Union activities, I would work for regular recreational activities sponsored by Student Council, a continuation of the policy of having



Greg Millard

the Union open 24 hours during exams, and additional locker space. This is simply the basic outline of my platform.

DAVE WILLIAMS

"Those of us who eat on Slater's know that improvements are desperately needed. We have a right to demand: regular sanitary inspections of Slater's, retention of boarding service in the Union despite University plans to move this service to the All States, and a partial meal plan for commuters and chartered transportation to N.Y., Boston, Florida, over vacations." Vote for the experience that can initiate programs.

Qualifications: President Elliott's Student Center Committee, Orientation Evaluation Committee, D. C. Director of National Student Leadership Seminar, Upperclassman Advisor in Crawford Hall, QPI 2.7, members of Delta Phi Epsilon, Sigma Chi, Commuter Problems Committee, Sophomore Political Science major, and fourth semester victim of Slater's.



Dave Williams

Publicity Dir.

AXEL FREUDMAN

I have had the experience and opportunity necessary to serve as a member of the Student Council. In my freshman year, I was a dorm council representative. I have served on the Homecoming publicity committee and Professor Evaluation Committee. My QPI is 2.56. I am an Independent.

One of the unfortunate things that can be said of this University is that it appears to be somewhat lethargic, partially attributable to a lack of well organized publicity. To very briefly explain, this situation can be ameliorated by adequate coverage of University events on local radio stations and in local newspapers—a technique lacking in the past. In conjunction with radio stations, I also feel it important that the Student Council emphasize that WRGW obtain an FM license. To make aware to the students all that goes on around him, I should like to establish a bi-weekly calendar of events on and off campus. Also by proper publicity of all campus activities,



Axel Freudman

the gap between foreign and American students could be narrowed.

RICHARD KAPLAN

I have proposed a concrete five point program aimed at all members of the University, residents and commuters alike. 1. Expanded publicity for worthy

events. Specifically, the excellent recreation program and the bus service for home football games. 2. Responsibility for all publicity, supplies and inventory, for the hometown press release, whereby accomplishments of GW students are released to their hometown paper, and for the removal of all old material from the bulletin boards. 3. Maximum use of all media of communications, such as WRGW, local radio stations, and two outdoor bulletin boards. 4. A weekly Students Council column describing past events and highlighting upcoming activities. 5. Regularly scheduled office hours.

Vote for the program that initiates action. Qualifications:



Richard Kaplan

sophomore, economics major, 2.6 QPI, Delta Tau Delta, Activities: Old Men, Fall Concert 1964 Ticket Sales Committee, Students Discount Sales Commission, Young Democrats, Crew, Delta Tau Delta rush chairman.

Upper Columb.

RICHARD BASHAM

I am Richard Basham, a junior, and candidate for Upper Columbian Representative. I am an



R. Basham.

anthropology major and have been secretary and reporter of Sigma (Continued on page B3)

Go Go
With
Goff
VIKI FOR
SUPERDORM REP
FLOORS 8 & 9

Qualifications Count!
KRAVETZ
FOR GOVERNMENT

FARINA
YOU CAME
TO THE
RIGHT MAN

Farina, Harrison Discuss Issues

(Continued from B1)

director and the ideas I have as president qualify me to do the best possible job at the moment in the presidency.

HATCHET. Rick, you stated that you feel that the student government should play a greater role in Administrative decisions. How do you propose to boost the voice of the students before the Administration?

HARRISON. I have seen in the past two years in the student government an unfortunate tendency in many areas to propose commissions and committees to discuss situations and issues, many of which prove of absolutely no value.

I feel that the most expeditious and the most convenient means of settling problems in the University would be the immediate appointment of qualified members of the student body to discuss specific issues with specific members of the Administration, for satisfactory conclusions.

This would not be a committee effort---this would be a complete one man-one man discussion which would report back to the student government, at which time the government could make the choice. In this way we would have a complete report---one man, with whatever staff he chooses.

HATCHET. Joe, you said you want to fill the leadership vacuum by effective leadership. Similar to the question I asked Rick, exactly how do you plan to change the type of leadership? What kind of leadership do you think we've been lacking that you want to provide?

FARINA. I think it's absolutely necessary for a president of the student body to take the bull by the horns, as they say. I think when there is a problem involving students in relation to faculty Administration, that the president of the Student Council could take this problem into hand.

Committees and investigatory committees are fine in certain areas, but I believe that the president of the Student Council should be instrumental in bringing these issues before the Administration.

In many of the areas, there is not a particular committee. The procedures, the lines of communications, are just not there. The president of the student body is going to have to create those lines of communication. And that means bring these issues before the Board of Trustees, before the faculty, in a detailed presentation.

Two very good examples of this would involve a tuition plan, would involve a financial statement. We've talked about these particular items which appear in my platform.

HATCHET. Both of you have said that you'd like to see the student body have more of a role in administrative decisions and see more of a student-administrative liaison.

I'd like to know, in view of the fact that Skip this year has been on innumerable committees to such an extent that he's found it very demanding on his time, how you propose implementing such a student-administrative liaison, as far as personnel on the Council.

HARRISON. This isn't difficult. The ultimate responsibility for student government lies, if in an individual, of course in the president of the student body. But as you say, it is impossible to be fifteen places at once.

It would not be conceivable that all the tasks of the president of the student body, or of the student government itself, could be accomplished without the judicious use of as many members of the Council, and student leaders, as possible.

The more important committees such as tuition rises should be the realm of the president



PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES. Rick Harrison and Joe Farina discuss the issues, problems, and ramifications of the up-coming Student Council Elections.

of the student body. I don't believe any man is a computer and can keep fifteen or 16 issues completely straight in his mind. That is why I say there should be competent student experts to deal efficiently with a single problem with their own staffs.

FARINA. I think it's very important that the Student Council be involved with qualified personnel sitting on the Council, acting as Council agents, or lines of communication coming into the Council. All of that is very important.

I think it very important that no matter what the person's affiliation is with any private interest groups that that person's qualifications and experience be taken into consideration. I'm definitely in favor of taking the views of whoever is going to offer the opinions of the student body to the student body president taking them into consideration.

Fine, you have your committees for certain projects, you have your committees for investigating about student opinion and on certain matters. But I think it's high time that the president of the student government didn't rely upon the lines of communication, so to speak, that revolve around the Student Council. Because one, they haven't been used; two, they haven't been created for many of the circumstances.

And I think it takes a person with dynamic leadership, who is willing to exert himself, who is willing to initiate new ideas, to cope with these problems.

HATCHET. What would be your feelings toward the formation of an open, two-party political system on campus?

FARINA. I think the two-party system is something which can be termed "American." I would definitely be in favor of seeing it established here at the University.

I think it is indeed important that people be committed to platforms and to issues that confront the student body, and that they do their absolute best in utilizing their qualifications and their experience and resources at their hand to talk about these issues; to not only just talk about these issues but to definitely present them to the student body.

HARRISON. I think that the development of a two-party system is essential to the development of this school, to the maturation of the student government, to the success of student government on this campus.

I, for one, am extremely tired of closed politics. I will grant anyone that they are going to be involved in party politics as much as anyone else. But the final question will go out to the student body as a political question. A selection will be made, finally, openly.

It's time the student body was accepted as mature enough to understand the party system. It certainly isn't difficult. The idea

of the party system applies itself very well to campus politics, and I think particularly to this campus.

It is an expeditious measure. It is one that raises the level of politics on this campus, I would say, about two hundred times.

HATCHET. Rick, in your statement, you endorse the idea of a nominal activities fee. Joe, I don't see any mention of the activities fee. I'd like to know, Joe, how you stand on activities fee, and Rick, what you mean by a nominal fee, and some idea from both of you as to what the fee would mean as far as the Administration handling.

FARINA. Yes I am in favor of an activities fee, but I think there are certain situations which must be taken into consideration. If we view the problem in retrospect, we see that the Campus Combo does not fulfill this purpose.

It has not supplied enough of the money which is necessary in relation to activities; it has caused us to present activities which have not been to the liking of the student body.

I think we need to look into another means of financing the activities on campus.

HATCHET. If you are in favor of an activities fee, how would it be administered---to full-time students only, to graduate students? Would everyone be allowed to go to the events, or would it be first come and then closed?

FARINA. I think that the activities fee should be administered in such a way that the students of the University would be allowed to attend any event, without having to come to an auditorium and having to purchase a \$5.60 ticket for a certain event because they had not had a certain stamp placed on their I.D. card or not had to have a certain packaged deal of some kind.

HARRISON. There are several detailed aspects about how a fee can be administered and the nominality of it. I would think that the absolute maximum activities fee for students on this campus would be \$10 per year.

This would bring in so much money, if it were levied at all of full-time undergrad or grad.

This is a possibility that would have to be explored by the organization that would establish the activities fee and I'm fairly confident that it will be established, that it will be prorated that full-time resident undergraduates will pay so much, commuters will pay 85 per cent of that, graduate students will pay 80 per cent of the original, part time students will pay 75 per cent of it.

I'm not endorsing this plan as a complete possibility but it is a possibility to be looked into.

It becomes apparent that with around four thousand undergrads it would be fairly impossible to get an area in this city where

we can house four thousand full-time undergraduate students. We can't expect and I don't think we will expect any perfect attendance at whatever activities are provided by the fee.

Therefore, we will have to provide some means of insuring that the activities we have are worth the fee they've paid and secondly, that we will be able to accommodate the students as much as possible; thirdly, that all students will have the foreknowledge that it will be a first come, first serve basis out of necessity.

With a large enough activities fee from as much of the student body that pays we will be able to fairly well insure the use of Constitution Hall.

We will be able to almost guarantee the correct kind of talent to draw people, to draw the attention of the student body, to entertain the student body, to make a successful and worthwhile activity well in advance so that we don't have to go through any of this nonsense of a three month procurement of talent, which is idiotic.

We could let the students who are going to go to the concert come to wherever we are selling tickets on the campus or giving the tickets and perhaps a quarter or something to signify that they are coming, and they get their ticket then.

That means that they get the concert for whatever part of the fee they paid plus the quarter.

This insures we know how many people are coming, we know when it's filled, and we have to guarantee that it's first come first serve.

I could not agree more that Campus Combo must be abolished. There are too many holes in it, there is far too much opportunity for miscalculation and error.

It is an amateur system, and an amateur system with finances at that level cannot be endured. I'm personally in favor of total revamping of the student council budgeting on almost all levels, but I certainly would want to see the elimination of system which led to the inadvertent loss of as much money as we lost this year.

The confusion at the beginning of the year thinking we were something like five thousand dollars in debt, now that was idiotic.

I think it is time that we were sure, absolutely sure, of finances on campus, and we knew where everything was going, and I think this can be done by a complete revamping including the activities fee.

FARINA. I don't think that I can emphasize more the importance of knowing where this money is going, and this is directly pertinent, not only to an activities fee, but also to where our money is going in relation to tuition. A lot of people have talked about increasing tuition, have wondered about it---why alumni as involved as it is, have

not supported the student body as it should.

All this involves that the students are paying more money and getting less for it, and that's exactly what it seems. If we're not getting less for it, we're getting the same amount of "pleasure" and "same amount of facilities".

The key thing in many of the issues, is to know where our money is going, where it has gone, what improvements have been made, and what they offer to the students.

HARRISON. I don't want to take the attitude immediately that the student body is paying more and getting less or getting exactly the same. I refuse to make a blanket statement like that immediately without knowing for sure.

Now every year Vice President Herzog does release a financial statement and a treasurer's report to the University and it is published. It is not the easiest thing to be obtained by a student, and this is something I think is disgraceful.

The annual treasurer's report of all University finances, expenditures and income should be made available to all University students and should be publicized. Students should know where the money is coming from and where it is going.

I don't want to say immediately that we are not getting anything for the higher tuition. I know from experience, as you know I served on the first student committee to the Board of Trustees in the University's history, and at that meeting, I was surprised by something they were not telling us. We were simply not aware of some of the activities of the Board.

Now, this is where I think the error lies. In this area some secrecy might have been necessary, but I know there are other areas where secrecy is observed and is not as necessary.

I think open publication to the students of what is going on here, all activities that involve their finances. I also think that is unfortunate, if not disgraceful, that the alumni of the University pay so little support to it.

I think that one of the big reasons for it, is that students, as students, are not given enough from the University. Now, this is a big responsibility of the Student Council, and there are several hundred areas where this can be explored.

But I have gone on this theory, I ran on this for freshman director, I will continue to bear this theory till I die, that if students are given satisfaction as students at this University, they will feel a closer tie to it, and will feel more members of the University when they become alumni.

They will have a greater willingness to contribute and to support the school. This goes from athletics right down to academics.

FARINA. I think it very important that the student government touch, or be concerned with, or have a direct relationship with as many students on this campus as possible.

This will definitely have some results in relation to alumni, but will also have some results in relation to the present atmosphere, the present opinion of students here at the University now in 1966. That to me is just as important, if not more important, in the present and the long run.

HATCHET. What do each of you believe is the basic difference between yourself and your opponent?

HARRISON. I believe the first and the largest issue at stake, involved in the differences between Joe and myself, is the matter of actual experience in student government of this University.

I tend to feel that to be president of an organization one should have at least served some

In Presidential Confrontation

time on that organization; one should have some direct experience with the machinations of the organization and the relations of the organization.

I feel that in working with Orientation, and working here during the summer, and, in effect, doing as many tasks as the Student Council had to do some days during the summer, I have learned more about this University than many people could imagine even existed. I never did, until I was in the middle of it.

I think that my experience with the Board of Trustees, with the Administration, with the faculty, with the student body as the freshman director, as a member of the student council, as a representative of the student body, places a good deal of qualification on my side.

I am not going to argue that experience in student government in general is not applicable. I could say that since I was in kindergarten, I have been in student council of one kind or another, straight on through, and have been president several times, but I feel direct experience in this situation, knowing this situation, is one of the biggest reasons for trying to gain the presidency.

Secondly, I do believe that coalition politics is an issue. I don't think it is the largest. In effect, we have the two party system we have been talking about.

I think one of the crimes of the situation as it exists is that it is closed, fairly closed on both sides, I believe particularly closed on one.

I don't believe a small group of individuals is competent to dictate to the student body what the student body should be and have.

FARINA. I think the key difference in relation to the presidential candidates this year is leadership versus experience. I maintain that I have had the leadership in many activities and will be able to relate the leadership which I have gained in terms of the presidential candidacy for this coming year.

Yes, there is a difference between student politics and student government. I have been involved in student government here at the University. I have come in contact with Administration and faculty as I have worked on University-wide projects.

I have been involved in Student Council activities, in Student Council committees, I have been involved in dormitory life, in dormitory government. My relationship with the student government here has, indeed, been very strong.

I have had the background for leadership, not only here within

the University community, but I have gained leadership ability in greater Washington community, as well as my own background in relation to former student governments in other schools.

I think the ability to work with people, the ability to have people respect your opinion, respect your personage, to be able to work with you is, indeed, very important.

HATCHET. It would seem that Rick emphasizes his own experience in student government in the University situation here as a member of council.

Joe emphasizes his own experience and ability in leadership, his ability to work with people. Looking at it from the other angle, at Rick from the aspect of leadership and Joe from the aspect of experience in the GW situation, Rick in a speech he gave last week, referred to himself as pigheaded at times...

HARRISON. Bullheaded.

HATCHET...and Joe has been called in different ways, even specifically in one poison pen letter, a nonentity on campus. Looking at each of you from the angle the other emphasizes, how would you answer these charges?

HARRISON. What I said last week, I believed. I have been bullheaded at times.

Now, I realize that one of the issues, having been rounded, if not overtly, at least covertly, has been that I am so bullheaded and so stubborn and so unable to get along with other people that it would endanger the success of the Student Council, and I think we ought to meet that head-on.

When I said bullheaded, I meant that I am determined once I undertake a job, and I am like this, I am not going to deny it, I am fairly proud of it, as a matter of fact. Once I get hold of a job, I am determined to see it done.

I have had difficulties with people in the University, particularly, I think the best example of this has been the Orientation Committee. My report outlines fully the difficulties that were involved in getting the committee as a self to work. The issue is: I found people that helped me, and people that helped me did an excellent job with me, with my leadership.

I have been called especially stubborn and obnoxious to the Administration. Now this I think is specious. I have made comments in the past, not always the most judicious, but, at least, honest.

I disagree with the system through which student government must go to obtain its fullest efficiency on campus. I continue to disagree with that system. I will not mitigate that.

I do feel that the system can be

changed, and I would work toward changing that system if the opportunity presented itself, but, let's face it, the opportunity is not upon us and we must get work done within the system, and I have.

I can work with people, and let's get right down to the issue—the Dean's offices, where people say I have no restraint whatsoever and am totally unable to work with them. I disagree.

I have made my opinions of the system clear to both Dean Bissel and Dean Kirkbride. I think my report outlines it fairly well. But I don't feel that either of the deans is a small person, so small that they would take what I said about the system personally.

I know there are sympathies in the Administration for a change in the system. I believe this would increase the efficiency of student government and student activities, and I believe it is necessary. I think that as long as we all realize that this is not the most favorable system, but we are all willing to work in it.

I am willing to work in it as hard as I possibly can, with as many people as I can, to succeed in getting what the student body needs. This is my big purpose.

I want this office because I feel I have something to offer, and I know I can't offer it if I am going to go out, stand on a soapbox, and holler for the entire year.

I know work has to be done, and I feel my experience proves that I am able to get it done, and I think that some of the magnification of the difficulties involved has not taken into consideration the effectiveness of some of the work I have done.

FARINA. I think that I have had experience here in student government, and I think the term a nonentity was unjustly used in relation to me. I have worked, as I said in the very beginning, since coming to the University with the dormitory councils.

I have worked with the community service committees here at the University. I have come into contact with the University personnel, Administrative, faculty and otherwise, and I have worked with them very calmly, and I have worked with them very productively throughout my existence here at the University.

Some people say you can't teach an old dog new tricks, and this may be in relation to how some people have acted in the past and how they will act in the future.

It is indeed difficult to say what a person will do in new circumstances and in new situations, but there have been a number of people who have definitely stated that the trend is to continue, and that there is not to be a difference involved in relation to one candidate's opinion.



one candidate's ability to work with people, or to be productive in as many senses as possible. It's indeed true that my leadership and experience are one in the same. I'm just not definitely dominant in leadership, because I've had the experience here at the University.

I think that it is very important to have the experience, but it is also indeed important to use that experience and to lead, and to initiate, and to help the projects along here at the University.

HATCHET. Rick, in your statement you called for the "revocation of University blue laws regarding curfews and alcoholic beverages in residence halls and at University functions according to D. C. law." I'm not sure what you meant, could you explain it?

HARRISON. Yes, I realize the statement in itself is rather weak because it is hard to detail things. What I mean is, I don't agree at all with the idea that the purpose of a university administration is to legislate morality.

I realize that this University has a long tradition, and I believe that many of the statutes here are somewhat outdated. They may have been effective in the past. I believe at this campus we have an unusually sophisticated student body, and I think it is time the Administration realized it.

Now one of the things that Skip has done this year is to eliminate the old law that you can't have dancing on Sunday on campus. That is fantastic that it should have lasted until 1965. In 1966, I think there are a few more things that can be done. I don't think that weekend curfews should be left at two o'clock for twenty-one year old women. I tend to believe that this is a little unreasonable.

I also think that the idea that the women must obey normal week day curfews during vacation periods or intersession periods is a little unreasonable because the system was designed so that the women would be able to get some sleep before classes the next day, and if there are no classes the next day this seems to obviate the reason for the law.

Also, alcoholic beverages are permitted in the men's halls within the limits of D. C. law, eighteen and over you are allowed wine and beer, and above twenty-one you are allowed whatever else you feel is necessary

to emolliate your evening.

I see no reason why this can't be allowed in the women's residence halls, too. There are dormitory regulations against rowdiness. There are dormitory regulations against excessive disturbance in the halls of other people's privacy and rights, and this I think is the basis for making a legislative decision.

Does a practice interfere with the rights of another individual? If it does, there should be some limitation on it, but as long as it does not, there is no reason why there should be a legislative statute against it.

Particularly, I think it unreasonable that an organization such as Newman Club or ISS have to rent a fraternity house to hold a party where they may serve beer or wine.

Now I'll be honest in this and say that one of the big reasons in including this was a rather effective whispering campaign that I've been for a dry campus.

Now, I was told, as I once told you, that a seventeenth century philosopher once said that 75 per cent of the population of the world were moronic, and I refused to accept it, but if people believed it, (this rumor) I am going to have to accept it.

The idea that I am for a dry campus is ridiculous, and this is one of the reasons, quite honestly, that I put it in. The whole idea of blue laws disturbs me.

We're dealing with a sophisticated group, and as long as we can keep it under such control that the rights of other individuals are not interfered with, I see no reason for their continuation.

FARINA. I am glad that there is a tendency in student affairs to acknowledge the fact that students themselves should decide their own dress regulations at certain times, and the students are taking more concern with their own student life here at the University.

I acknowledge the fact that there is a definite amount of student responsibility and student maturity here at George Washington University, and I am also one who is not going to proclaim myself as the protector of public and/or student morality, and I'm glad to see that the tendency has been to let the student body decide what measures are best for its own interests.



Hatchet Samples Views of Sixty-

ALL CANDIDATES for Student Council offices were asked by the HATCHET to answer the following questions:

1. Are you in favor of the University easing its mandatory class attendance rules?

2. Are you in favor of a mandatory activities fee for full-time undergraduates?

3. If elected, will you urge the

University to extend the hours of the Health Center to 24 hours a day by the addition of a registered nurse and by arranging to have doctors on call during the late night hours?

4. Do you think the University should provide more honors programs for outstanding students?

5. Do you think that outstanding students should be allowed over 18

semester hours without any additional cost above the standard full-time tuition cost?

6. Do you think the Student Council should set up specific dress regulations for students on campus?

7. Would you approve the founding of a Young Socialists club on campus whose constitution compiled with the standards set by the Student Life Committee?

CANDIDATE	Unlimited cuts	Activities Fee	Health Center	Honors Programs	Over 18 Hours	Dress Rules	Young Socialists	Off-Campus Issues	Two-Party System	Phys. Ed. Grade	Professor Evaluation	Discussion Series	HATCHET Endorsements	Food Service	Academic Advisors	University Senate	Discrimination
PRESIDENT																	
Joe Farina	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U
Rick Harrison	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
VICE PRESIDENT																	
Ralph Grebow	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
Linda Moore	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes	U	No
SECRETARY																	
Barbara Bernstein	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	?
Carol Lehtonen	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
TREASURER																	
Murray	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
ACTIVITIES DIRECTOR																	
Ardavazt Honanyan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes
Steve Perlo	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	Yes	No	?
PROGRAM DIRECTOR																	
Lou Colaguri	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
FRESHMAN DIRECTOR																	
Robin Kaye	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	Yes	No
Larry Tabick	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes
STUDENT BOARD CHAIRMAN																	
Greg Millard	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	Yes	U	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	U	Yes
Dave Williams	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	?
PUBLICITY DIRECTOR																	
Axel Freudman	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	No	Yes	U	No	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	No	U	Yes
Rick Kaplan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	?
UPPER COLUMBIAN																	
Ned Studholme	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	?
Richard Basham	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	U
LOWER COLUMBIAN																	
Charlie Ory	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT																	
Bob Kravetz	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Tom Rogers	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	Yes
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING																	
Stacy Deming	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	?	No	No	?	U	U	No	?	No	No	No
Burton Goldstein	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	Yes
Paul Johnson	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	U	No	Yes	No	Yes
VIRGINIA REPRESENTATIVE #1																	
William Cornwell	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	?
Tom Rothstein	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
VIRGINIA REPRESENTATIVE #2																	
Dave Melesco	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	U	U	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tom Scott	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No

U means undecided; ? means did not answer.

ED BEALS

"A COMMUTER VOICE FOR MARYLAND"

MARYLAND (2) REPRESENTATIVE TO THE
STUDENT COUNCIL

DAVE WILLIAMS

FOR *Student Union*
Board Chairman



One Student Council Candidates

8. Do you feel the Student Council should take stands on controversial national and international issues?

9. Do you favor the establishment of an open two-party political system?

10. Do you think the grading in physical education should be included in computing a student's QPI?

11. Do you think the publication of the professor evaluation survey should be

continued?

12. Do you think the Student Council should sponsor programs such as the IFC Coffee Cup Series?

13. Do you think the editor of the HATCHET should endorse candidates for Student Council offices?

14. Do you think the University should replace Slater's Food Service?

15. Do you feel that upper class mem-

bers of academic honoraries and/or graduate students should be used during registration to augment the regular academic advisors?

16. Do you feel that the University Senate should be given greater authority in areas of student life?

17. Should the University demand affidavits of non-discrimination in order to continue its recognition of campus fraternities and sororities?

CANDIDATE	Unlimited cuts	Activities Fee	Health Center	Honors Programs	Over 18 Hours	Dress Rules	Young Socialists	Off-Campus Issues	Two-Party System	Phys. Ed. Grade	Professor Evaluation	Discussion Series	HATCHET Endorsements	Food Service	Academic Advisors	University Senate	Discrimination
MARYLAND REPRESENTATIVE #1																	
Heidi Dulay																	
Richard Lund	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	U	U	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
MARYLAND REPRESENTATIVE #2																	
Ed Beals	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
Julian Loube	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	U
William Srole	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
D. C. REPRESENTATIVE #1																	
Stanely Provus	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
James Zigler	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes
D. C. REPRESENTATIVE #2																	
John Bralove	Yes	No	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	No
Robert Fink	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	No
Stuart Kiehl	Yes	No	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	U	No	Yes	Yes	No
Geoffrey Lawrence	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	U	No	No
ADAMS REPRESENTATIVE																	
Marvin Ickow	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	U	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	No	No	Yes
Mike McElroy	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
CRAWFORD REPRESENTATIVE																	
Brian Buzzell	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	U	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	?
John Sammartino	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	U	U
Mike Wolly	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	No	No	Yes
CALHOUN REPRESENTATIVE																	
Paul Panitz	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	U	No	Yes	No	U
Gary Passmore	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	Yes
STRONG REPRESENTATIVE																	
John Stone	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	U	Yes
WELLING REPRESENTATIVE																	
Bob Defore	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
MADISON REPRESENTATIVE																	
Doreen Shaddix	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U	U	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	U	U	Yes	No	No
Joan Yager	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
NWRH FLOORS 1,2,3																	
Martha Kantor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Christine Murphy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Genie Solomon	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Sue Thevenet	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	U	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	U	Yes
NWRH FLOORS 4,5																	
Jessica Dunsay	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	U	U	No	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	U	U
NWRH FLOORS 6,7																	
Tova Indritz	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	U	U	Yes	Yes	U	U	Yes	U	Yes
Julie Jaslow	No	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
NWRH FLOORS 8,9																	
Ronda Billig	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	U	Yes	No	Yes
Viki Goff	Yes	U	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	U	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	U
Linda Shultz	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	U	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	U	No
GRAND TOTALS																	
	58 Y	33 Y	61 Y	58 Y	52 Y	8 Y	43 Y	20 Y	37 Y	15 Y	59 Y	58 Y	27 Y	13 Y	54 Y	6 Y	29 Y
	2 N	20 N	0 N	0 N	7 N	48 N	4 N	33 N	13 N	40 N	5 Y	58 Y	27 N	22 N	6 N	43 N	8 N
	1 U	8 U	0 U	3 U	2 U	5 U	11 U	8 U	11 U	5 U	2 U	3 U	7 U	25 U	1 U	12 U	17 U
							3 ?			1 ?				1 ?			7 ?

H

Promise Her Anything
But Vote For

ROTHSTEIN

a vote for ACTION

RIC KAPLAN
PUBLICITY DIRECTOR

HARRISON

FOR STUDENT
COUNCIL PRESIDENT

Proposed Amendments Designed To Streamline Council Business

by Jessica Dunsay
Student Council Reporter

THREE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS to the Articles of Student Government will be considered in a student referendum this week. The amendments will appear on the ballot during Student Council elections on Thursday and Friday.

These amendments propose alterations in the structure and responsibilities of the Student Council.

Program Director

The first proposal gives the program director, with the approval of the Activities Committee, the authority to select talent for Council-sponsored events.

In the past, entertainment was not chosen for a particular event until chairman for that activity was selected. Thus, arrangements were often delayed and the quality of entertainment suffered.

Although this situation might possibly be remedied by earlier

Interpretative Report

selection of chairmen, it would appear more beneficial to have the candidates for program director in Council elections run on a platform of suggested entertainment which they would secure if elected. The director would then be able to plan his whole program months in advance and thus ensure more unity and efficiency in planning Student Council events.

The fear that stereotyped entertainment might result from selection of all talent by one individual does not seem valid. The veto of the Activities Committee, comprised of the activities director, freshman director, publicity director, and Student Union Board chairman, as well as the program director, would serve as a constant check on the program director in his choice of talent.

Previously, the problem of lack of responsibility to the student body has arisen within the Council. The chairman of the particular activity might be too independent and not sufficiently responsible to the Council. The Student Council represents the student body and since entertainment is an important function of the Council, the views of the constituents must be considered.

In approving this amendment, the student body would increase its voice in the selection of talent by empowering an official, elected by themselves and necessarily cognizant of their opinions, to chose entertainment.

Absences of Student Council Members

The second amendment would provide for an automatic hearing before the Committee on Student Life of Student Council members who miss four meetings during the academic year with proxies present.

The academic year is defined as September to May and does not include the summer months, when many Council members are not in Washington. Such a hearing might lead to possible censure of the Council member.

Presently, the Articles of Student Government provide for hearing before the Committee on Student Life when a Council member misses four meetings during the calendar year (Feb. to Feb.). Such a hearing automatically leads to censure of the member or his removal from office. The proposal would be added to this provision.

Under the amendment the member would automatically be brought up for hearing if he misses four meetings with proxy. However, if the Committee on Student Life found that there were extenuating circumstances that excused his absence, no action would be taken against the member.

This proposal is designed to cut down on excessive absences and thus ensure the Council member's responsibility to his constituents. Under this plan the member is allowed six absences; three with proxy and three without. The necessity of this amendment can be seen in the attendance records of several members of the present Council. Three of the present members have five absences with proxy; three have six, and one has eight absences. Certainly the passage of the proposed amendment would deter such flagrant irresponsibility.

Executive Committee

The third proposal would provide for the establishment of an Executive Committee of the Student Council. This Committee would be composed of the president, vice-president, secretary, treasurer, and activities director of the Student Council. In addition, the Committee would be comprised of the chairmen of the Student-Faculty Liaison Committee (consisting of all the school representatives), the Residence Hall Committee (made up of all the dormitory representatives), and the Commuter Committee

(composed of all commuter representatives).

The creation of this Committee is designed to counter the increase in the size of the Council to 31 members. This small group of eight would be better able to handle matters of expediency and serve as a closer check over the business of the Activities Committee.

The Committee will also be empowered to make up meeting agendas and rules for discussion at Council meetings. It would act for the Council during the summer session and "in cases of urgency during recesses from the academic semesters." All these features contribute to more efficient handling of Council business.

However, there exists the possibility that the Executive Committee might exceed its authority. Meetings would be closed to the student body at large. Open meetings are often a valuable check.

Concerning the danger of this Committee assuming too much power to the exclusion of the other Council members, the amendment states that the Executive Committee is limited in two significant ways: first, all its acts are subject to the approval of the whole Council, and second, the Committee may "not assume any powers not delegated to it by the Articles of Student Government, . . . by the Student Life Committee, . . . or by a majority vote of the members of the Student Council present and voting."

The institution of an Executive Committee would allow increased efficiency in Council affairs and eliminate time-consuming matters of little real importance to the Council as a whole.

All three of these amendments have been passed by the Student Council with the necessary 2/3 majority and await approval by the student body. They require a simple majority for passage, providing there are at least 300 persons casting ballots.

Although questions have been raised within the Council concerning these measures, none have created any real controversy. The first passed by a vote of 14-1, the second went through with a majority of 12-2, and the third was approved unanimously.

None of these proposals can honestly be considered as a limitation on the power of the student body. Rather, they are necessary to the proper functioning of the Student Council and thus serve to increase the responsibility of the Student Council to the students of this University.

Students To Vote On 3 Amendments

THREE AMENDMENTS to the Articles of Student Government will be presented for referendum on the ballot for Student Council elections on Feb. 10 and 11. The texts of the changes proposed and approved by the Student Council appear below.

Executive Committee

ARTICLE IV

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

A. Membership shall consist of the following:

1. President of the Student Body
2. Vice President of the Student Body
3. Secretary of the Student Council
4. Treasurer of the Student Council
5. Activities Director of the Student Council
6. Chairman of the Student-Faculty Liaison Committee
7. Chairman of the Resident Hall Committee
8. Chairman of the Commuter Committee

B. Procedures

1. The president shall be the presiding officer.
2. The Secretary shall be the Secretary of the Student Council.
3. Meetings shall be open to members of the Student Council, members of the Executive Committee, and other such persons as may be invited by the Executive Committee for the purpose of business. This clause may be set aside for any single meeting by a majority vote of the Executive Committee.
4. All decisions of the Executive Committee shall be made by a majority vote of members present and voting.
5. A quorum shall consist of at least five members.

C. Powers

The Executive Committee shall have the power to:

1. Establish the agenda for Student Council meetings.
2. Determine the rules and procedures for discussion of business before the Council.
3. Approve recommendations of the Activities Committee.
4. Exercise authority over such areas as may be delegated by the Committee on Student Life to the Student Council.

5. Act for the Council during the Summer Session.
6. Act for the Council in cases of urgency during recesses from the academic semesters.

D. Restrictions of Power

1. All acts of the Executive Committee are subject to the approval of the Student Council at its next regular meeting.
2. The Executive Committee shall not assume any powers not delegated to it by the Articles of Student Government, or delegated to it by the Student Life Committee, or delegated to it by a majority vote of the members of the Student Council present and voting.

Program Director

ARTICLE IV, SECTION C, SUBSECTION 2

The Program Director shall serve as Vice-Chairman of the Executive Board and the Activities Committee and promote, foster, and coordinate annual programs. In conjunction herewith, he shall submit for approval of the Student Council by August 1 a list of those events which are to be considered "closed nights" for the coming school year.

(Proposed addition) The Program Director, with the approval of the Activities Committee, shall select all talent for Student Council events.

Absence With Proxy

ARTICLE VIII, SECTION B

Four absences from Student Council meetings during a calendar year without the presence of a proxy automatically places a Student Council member before the Committee on Student Life for censure or removal from office.

(Proposed addition) Four absences from Student Council meetings during the academic year with the presence of a proxy automatically places a Student Council member before the Committee on Student Life for possible censure.

Council Members' Duties Set Forth

THE STUDENT COUNCIL elected this Thursday and Friday will be composed of 31 members in accordance with the reorganization of the Student Council passed in a referendum vote on May 6 and 7 of last year.

Previously the Council was composed of eighteen members.

Under the reorganized Council, dorm representatives are provided for the first time. At no time can the number of representatives exceed one for every 250 residents in the hall. Every dorm is guaranteed at least one representative. This year ten representatives are being chosen: one each from Adams, Calhoun, Crawford, Madison, Strong, and Welling and four from Superdorm.

Also added to the Council are the posts of D.C. commuter representatives, Maryland com-

muter representatives, and Virginia commuter representatives. Two representatives will be elected for each of these districts.

Three positions listed in the previous Articles of Student Government under which the present Council was elected, have been eliminated. The offices of advocate, comptroller, and member-at-large were abolished. The responsibilities of the advocate will be assumed by the vice president while the treasurer will take over the comptroller's duties.

The duties of each of the Council officers under the Articles of Student Government are:

PRESIDENT: The president presides at all meetings of the Student Council and the executive committee. It is the president's responsibility to see that the duties of the Council and its members are performed in accord with the Articles of Student Government and to carry out such other duties as are specified in the constitution.

The president is a member of (See Duties, page B15)

Parking at Student Rate
in Colonial lots.
Commuter Mixers

ROTHSTEIN

YIELD TO LUND

FOR MD.
REP.

m
a
r
y
l
a
n
d

HEIDI
DULAY

for
MD.
REP.

VOTE
SBG

C
o
m
m
u
t
e
r
s

For A Better Student Union Board And A Better Student Union Elect

GREG MILLARD

STUDENT UNION BOARD CHAIRMAN

JULIE
JASLOW

FOR THE
MAGNIFICENT
6th AND 7th
FLOORS!

Candidates Make Many Promises

(Continued from page 3)

Nu Fraternity, a member of Old Men, and am currently a member of Students for Better Government and the Anthropology Club. My QPI is 3.0. I have been on the Dean's List for the past two semesters.

For upper division girls, I favor dorm keys and complete freedom of movement on condition of good standing. For all students, I will work to implement the creation of a student corporation which, with faculty advisors, will staff and run a profit-free Student Bookstore and Student Union.

Jobs should be limited to ten hours weekly among full-time students, paid in partial scholarships, and awarded through the scholarship office. I feel that the Library and switchboard should be staffed in this manner.

Instead of spending its limited funds on outside people, the University would at least be helping its best students.

NED STUDHOLME

My qualifications for position of Upper Columbian Representative are as follows: Yearbook Staff (senior section); Old Men; Young Republicans; assistant rush chairman of Delta Tau Delta Fraternity. I have maintained an overall QPI of 2.95 while achieving a 3.3 QPI in my major field of sociology.

Let's get what we're paying for! I am in my sixth semester at GW and I am sick and tired of paying ever increasing tuition rates while receiving no immediate effective benefits. The administration's future plans for the University are fine for future students. I feel that we as present students must get compensation for the \$750 to \$850 we may be expected to pay next fall.

This can be brought about only by closer co-operation between the Student Council and the administration in the institution of low cost service which will not be added to our cumulative tuition fees. There is a detailed plan of action suggesting my solutions to



N. Studholme

the problems we face in circulation on campus. Read the issues, vote, and let's get what we're paying for!

Lower Columbian

CHARLES ORY

I am running for Lower Columbian Representative because I believe that the present systems of registration and advising for freshmen and sophomores are inadequate. To make improvements I propose that seniors and graduate students give first advising to sophomores and incoming freshmen.

Furthermore, I propose to place the Old Man Board under Lower Columbian, as the function of Old Men is to help the incoming freshmen register. I would also encourage more and better student-faculty communication by programs such as the Coffee Cup Series.

My qualifications include Order of Scarlet, 1965 Homecoming Float and Parade chairman, 1965 vice-chairman of Holiday Season, HATCHET headline editor and



Charles Ory

layout editor. I have a 3.11 QPI, am a member of Sigma Chi fraternity, and ask for your vote.

School of Gov.

TOM ROGERS

I am a junior Public Affairs major with a 3.1 QPI. I am president of Tau Kappa Epsilon social fraternity, secretary of the Interfraternity Council, a member of Order of Scarlet, Old



T. Rogers

Men, Gate and Key, and was a member of the Adams Hall Dorm Council for two years. In addition, I worked with the Orientation Committee, the Professor Evaluation Survey, and the HATCHET.

I feel the chief issue in this campaign is the rising tuition cost with few following improvements in the University. I propose to institute a 4 yr. tuition contract which would guarantee no tuition increases for students already enrolled.

I will continue the movement for improved facilities that started with last year's student letter to the Board of Trustees (of which I was a co-signer). Health facilities, our Library, promised student facilities such as the Student Center are inadequate to the point of non-existence. If elected, I can, and will, expand my activities toward making GW a better University.

BOB KRAVETZ

I am currently a junior, majoring in business administration, with an overall QPI of 2.1. I have served as business director of WRGW and have also been a staff announcer.

I am currently house manager and assistant pledge-master of Phi Sigma Delta fraternity. Also, I was assistant publicity chairman of Booster Board for 1964 and was a member of the Hatchet staff during 1963.

My major interest is in creating a council in the School of Government, Business and International Affairs, which would be similar in scope to the one now in existence in the School of Engineering.

The Council could cope with problems particular to our division. I am also in favor of a plan

for unlimited cuts in one's major field of study. Another proposal of mine is that of increased division wide activities to satisfy all interests in the particular school or division.



B. Kravetz

I am not in favor of any tuition increase unless it is accompanied by an equal increase in facilities, both academic and social.

School of Eng.

STACY DEMING

For some time now the School of Engineering and the projects of the Engineers' Council have been plagued by the adverse feelings of the Student Council. The major reason for these feelings is the lack of communication or lack of interest in the ideas that originate from the school of engineering.

I shall try, if elected, to make the Student Council listen to and recognize the feelings of the engineers. I am an independent sophomore majoring in electronic engineering with a QPI of 2.44.

I have served as introductory level representative on the Engineers' Council, chairman of the Engineers' Ball, secretary of the Institute of Electrical and



S. Deming

Electronic Engineers, and am a member of Theta Tau Engineering Fraternity.

BURTON GOLDSTEIN

The School of Engineering has too long been burdened with an unnecessary and rather unbeliev-



B. Goldstein

able problem. For a major division containing many of the finest minds in our University, the School of Engineering has been stagnant in every form of University representation.

Can you remember the last time the Student Council supported or, for that matter, was even presented with any legislation concerning our School? I can't. It is time we moved ahead and I am putting myself forward to accept this challenge.

My qualifications, I believe, stand by themselves. Along with being a junior with a QPI of 2.8, I have served two terms on the Engineering School Council and, in addition, was program director of Old Men, a vice-chairman of Booster Board and have served with Fall Concert, the HATCHET, and as an officer in Alpha Epsilon Pi.

The School of Engineering is a unified and major division whose representation must serve it as a whole.

It is imperative that we begin moving. With previous experience in coordinating both School of Engineering and University wide functions, aided by my academic achievements, I feel qualified to take on this new and emerging responsibility. I ask your support.

PAUL JOHNSON

I am a junior, majoring in electrical engineering, and am an independent. Holding a Trustees'



P. Johnson

scholarship, I have an overall QPI of 3.72 and am a member of Tau Beta Pi, engineering honorary. I also received the Sigma Tau Award for Outstanding Freshman in the Engineering School for 1963-64 and am a member of Phi Eta Sigma, freshman honorary.

In addition, I received a certificate of appreciation from the Student Council for my work on Orientation last summer and fall. I am also Chief Engineer of WRGW.

I feel that the Engineering School can and should become more involved in the University as a whole, and that one way that this might be accomplished would be for the Engineering School to better publicize its open events.

I also suggest that the Student Council, along with the administration, investigate the possibility of providing more parking space for students. Finally, I propose a permanent Concert Committee, chaired possibly by the Program Director to plan more and better concerts.

Va. Rep. No. 1

BILL CORNWELL

I am a junior majoring in history with a 2.33 QPI, a member of Sigma Chi and also of Old Men. My qualifications include committee on commuter affairs, planning commission for commuter lounge; chairman of 1965 Colonial Cruise, and summer director of 1965 Homecoming.

I believe that there must be a broader and better use of Student Council funds so as to benefit the commuter as well as the re-

sident student. Essentially with completion of the new Student Center, a commuter lounge would be an active part of the center where the commuter can go to study as well as to relax.

Also I believe that there should be greater participation by commuters in the intermural program with a chance to enter teams.

Finally, there is the continuing problem of inadequate commuter parking which could be remedied by making some public lots available to commuters by the University.



B. Cornwell T. Rothstein

THOMAS ROTHSTEIN

I am a sophomore pre-med student with a 3.9 QPI, and advocate a policy oriented toward integrating the commuting student into the activities of the university by improving services and facilities now offered to the commuter.

I fully support President Elliott's expansion program, and also advocate an optional partial meal plan for commuters, - allowing student parking in University owned Colonial lots at the student rate, - negotiation for a student transit discount.

I am also in favor of allowing unlimited cuts for students with QPIs 3.0, - adoption of an activities fee, - support of the Commuter Committee Amendment, - and adoption of activities for commuters, such as Commuters Mixers, Commuter Intramural teams and Commuter Homecoming Floats.

I am an independent and a member of Alpha Theta Nu and Phi Eta Sigma honoraries, and write occasionally for the HATCHET. My program is designed to integrate commuting students into university life by adding new commuter-oriented activities and redirecting those in existence.

Va. Rep. No. 2

DAVID MELESCO

I am a junior majoring in public affairs. I transferred to GW this year after attending Weber State College in Ogden, Utah for two years where I played varsity football on an athletic scholarship. Here at GW I have QPI of 2.8, and a member of Sigma Alpha Epsilon Fraternity and am active in intramurals.

My past experience in student government and activities include Homecoming, Freshman Orientation, Model U.N. as well as being a member of the Student Activity Board at Weber.

My main goals if elected, will be full representation of Virginia commuter interest, better communication between commuters and student council, the development of a sounding board for the commuters to express their thoughts and ideas, and the establishment of a limited meal plan that would be available for commuters.

Understanding the problems facing the commuters, I realize it will take an active representative to face them and am willing to put forth the effort to do so.



D. Melesco

(Continued on page B10)

Campaign Platforms Help Mold

THOMAS SCOTT

I believe that I would be a very capable representative of Virginia commuters. Being one myself, I can recognize the problems that plague all commuters, and I would strive to the best of my ability to bring about their elimination. This is the essential task of a commuter representative.

This is my second semester at this school, and I have a 2.06 QPI to date. My major is medicine. I am a member of Sigma Nu Fraternity.

My qualifications include my participation in the governments of schools that I have attended in the past and my current activity in the Children of the American Revolution in which I now hold a prominent elected position.

If elected, I will attempt to make known before the Student Council my ideas to help students, two of which are helping to relieve the parking problem of commuters and the installation of a food plan wherein students can receive one or two meals on school days.

Md. Rep. No. 1

HEIDI DULAY

Since transferring to GW as a junior last semester, I have maintained a 3.2 QPI while majoring in philosophy. The first 2 years of my education were spent at the University of the Philippines and the University of Madrid, Spain.

While in the Philippines, I served as Student Council President in high school, freshman representative to the Student Council in college and secretary to the City Council for Catholic Action. I received my U.S. citizenship in 1962.

At GW I am an independent member of Students for Better Government and the International Student Society. Endorsed by SBG, I will concentrate on giving



H. Dulay

meaning to the new position of Md. Representative, which I will try to make a focal point for grievances unique to commuters.

Foremost in my platform are: the establishment of a Commuter's Office and a regular column in the HATCHET to improve communication with commuters; sponsoring more university-wide activities; and making available more classrooms to be used as study halls for commuters.

RICHARD LUND

I have served on the Student Council Committee on Parking Problems. I am freshman with a QPI of 2.93. I am also a member of the Phi Sigma Delta fraternity.

I would like to see the Maryland residents provided with commuter facilities; provided with better communications between themselves and the Student Council; and provided with a greater role in this largely cosmopolitan university. I feel that one of the main injustices that exists is the lack of parking facilities. If a student oversleeps any morning, he will not be able to find a parking space in the student lot.

If a commuting student has a late morning class, he still must arrive at school intolerably early in order to find a parking space that is in walking distance. I feel that such situations as these should be remedied as soon as possible.

Besides the problem of arriving at the University, I feel that the commuter student should be given a greater role in school

functions. With this platform, I feel that I qualify as the most able candidate for the position of Maryland Representative.

Md. Rep. No. 2

EDWARD BEALS

My name is Ed Beals, I am an independent, and I am seeking the office of Maryland representative 2 to the student council. I am a junior at GW, an accounting major, and my QPI is 3.65.

I hold scholarships from the University Board of Trustees and the Federal Government Accountants Association, and I am a member of Alpha Theta Nu and vice president of Phi Eta Sigma, scholarship honoraries. I have been a member of SAM, served two years as an Old Man, and was a member of the Student-Faculty Committee on Student Orientation.

Throughout my studies at GW, I have become increasingly aware of the problems inherent to a university that depends on the support of commuters, yet may be overly oriented to the resident students.

Many improvements have been made in such areas as parking facilities, commuter lockers, and registration procedures, but the way is still open quite wide for more improvements.

I will endeavor to bring more of the University to the commuters to the best of my ability as a commuter voice for Maryland.



E. Beals



J. Loube

JULIAN LOUBE

I am a junior transfer student from Syracuse University; was a member of freshman and sophomore class executive councils, Representative to Student Legislature, Homecoming Weekend chairman, Freshman Class Government Advisor. At GW, I was a member of Homecoming Committee. I am a pre-medicine major with a 3.0 QPI and an independent.

To keep commuters involved and informed, I would like to establish a Commuting Students' Office (where commuter representatives will hold regular office hours) and publish a regular column for commuters in the HATCHET. I would move to have the Student Council sponsor more activities (including University-wide dances) in which commuting students would be able to participate.

I would seek to have unused classrooms made available in the evening as study halls, especially during and before examination periods, to alleviate overcrowded conditions in the Student Union and Library. Most important, I want to improve the parking situation by initiating a car pool program and working with the administration to provide additional facilities.

WILLIAM SROLE

My candidacy for Maryland Representative is based on the belief that I can effectuate significant solutions to problems faced by those who commute to school each day. Instead of the previous flow of empty proposals, I will devote myself to action on expanded parking areas, a commuter board to review individual commuter problems, a commuter's meal plan, a commuter lounge and other facilities to make the commuting student a more active member of GW.

Having served on the Student Council committee to survey

commuter problems, I am well qualified to represent the interests of Maryland commuters.

Although GW is rapidly becoming a residential school where dormitory expansion plans are reducing emphasis on commuter needs, I am intent on alleviating conditions resulting from this University's lack of initiative regarding problems affecting commuters.

I am a freshman pledging Phi Sigma Delta Fraternity. I am majoring in international affairs and my QPI is 2.64.

DC Rep. No. 1

STANLEY PROVUS

Any position in student government that is to be handled in a mature, responsible manner requires a great deal of perseverance. This applies to successful representation in positions prestigiously less important but factually as decisive as a high Student Council office. The position I am running for is D.C. Representative. My name - Stan Provus.

My status - Independent, sophomore with a 2.05 QPI, political science major. My experience in government is real. While working for Congressman Frank Annunzio of Chicago as legislative assistant, I have observed first-hand the problems and overall activities involved in government and will utilize that experience and knowledge.

The heart of my program is dual in nature: 1) Making students aware of and affording them an opportunity to participate in governmental activities and cultural functions of Washington (which is probably why many of us came to D.C. in the first place--it certainly wasn't the low tuition rate). 2) To resolve the vast problems of the heretofore neglected commuter student. This will be done with the initiation and coordination of intelligent commuter-oriented programs.



S. Provus



J. Zigler

JAMES ZIGLER

A seemingly hostile Administration has adopted the "too little, too late" attitude in accommodating the commuter student at GW. As a D.C. commuter and candidate for D.C. representative #1, I too have felt the pressure of sparse and ill-advised planning on the part of university officials.

The day is fast approaching when the Administration will be forced to give commuters a "fair shake."

My program in behalf of the commuter student includes a larger university appropriation for lockers, expanded parking facilities and a new commuter center, possibly in Woodhull C. I feel there is a need for organized car pools among commuters, greater integration of commuters into the university intramural program and voluntary lunch contract program with Slater's.

My qualifications for this office are based on experience and leadership. During the past year I have been active in national and local student groups which support U.S. policy in Vietnam.

A political science major with a 2.67 QPI, I am a member of SAE Fraternity.

DC Rep. No. 2

JOHN BRALOVE

I am a junior majoring in accounting, a member of Sigma

Chi Fraternity, and have a QPI of 2.50. I am a member of Gate and Key Society and Old Men. In high school I was president of my class, on the student council, and a delegate to the inter-school council.

I want to make the commuter a more integral part of the University by giving him a place to congregate, improving commuter communications, and increasing commuter facilities. Specifically, I would improve student parking facilities either by organizing workable carpools or by turning some of the public lots leased by the university into student lots.

Moreover, the Student Council should appropriate money for a commuter newsletter which eventually would pay for itself through advertising. I am also in favor of more lockers, a partial meal plan, a lounge, and adequate commuter facilities in the proposed university center.



J. Bralove



R. Fink

ROBERT FINK

I am a junior business administration major with a 2.27 QPI. I am treasurer of Tau Kappa Epsilon social fraternity and have served as a member of Old Men. More important, I have been a commuter for two years and have both full knowledge of commuter problems and a desire to serve.

I am primarily concerned with the inferior status of the commuter at our University. For example, if the student activities fee is passed, I shall do my best to insure scaling.

Commuters would receive far less benefit from their payments than would on campus "dorm dwellers" and thus should pay less. In addition, I wish to hold the University to its promises of increased commuter facilities. More parking space is desperately needed, locker areas are still not adequate and the much heralded Student Center appears to have been just another daydream.

It's time to put a Fink in office.

STUART KIEHL

Since the majority of the students at GW are non-residents, I believe they deserve adequate and qualified representation. I represent no organization or political group, but rather, the commuters themselves. With a certain degree of organization the unique problems of commuters can be overcome.

If elected I will advocate: (1) an organized system of car pools to alleviate parking problems; (2) a commuter lounge in addition to the new Student Union plans; (3) a "Commuter Corner" in a student publication to inform commuters of various activities; (4) a partial food plan; and (5) an intramural program designed to compete with other campus teams.

With extensive debating experience I feel qualified to carry out the above proposals if chosen for office. I hold a QPI of 2.0 as a sophomore majoring in American Thought and Civilization. Running as an independent, I believe I can serve the interests of the D.C. commuters best.



S. Kiehl



G. Lawrence

GEOFFREY LAWRENCE

My name is Geoffrey Lawrence. I am an independent junior majoring in Political Science with a 2.7 QPI. I am a candidate for the position of D.C. Representative #2.

I am a transfer student from Orange County Community College in N.Y. where I served as a senator on the Student Council and editor of the yearbook.

Since coming to GW I have taken an active interest in student affairs and am currently co-chairman of the Commuter Campaign for the Students for Better Government and am endorsed by them for Representative.

Some of the ideas I would like to put into effect include: (1) The distribution of the weekly minutes of the Student Council to promote better communication between the Council and the students; (2) The establishment of an intramural program which would include individual athletics with competitions in the afternoon to give the commuters more opportunity to participate.

I believe that the commuters who make up a large proportion of the student body, should receive a greater proportion of representation on the Council. "One man, one vote" is an issue here, too. I will try to attain that goal.

Adams Rep.

MARVIN ICKOW

I am a freshman majoring in political science, a member of Kappa Sigma, and have a 2.35 QPI.

My qualifications include administrative experience gained as make-up editor of my high school newspaper, a member of the Concert Orchestra, the student council for six years, the Letterman's Club and Quill and Scroll; also I was a National Merit winner.

At GW, I have been a member of the Dorm Council, YD's and the Student Council committee on orientation and registration. I am aware of some of the improvements Adams Hall needs and I pledge myself to investigate these areas. Adams Hall needs a greater number and variety of vending machines within the dorm, including an ice machine and a change machine.

I also believe that dorm residents should be given greater freedom by allowing them to entertain their dates within their rooms more often than is presently allowed. On a school-wide level, I would investigate the shifting of GW to either the trimester or quarter system which means that finals come before vacation.



M. Ickow



M. McElroy

MIKE McELROY

I am a freshman, seeking an B.A. in government (public affairs). At the present, I am an independent, with a QPI of 2.81.

At St. Agnes Cathedral High School, Rockville Centre, N.Y., I gained much experience applicable to Student Council and its issues. The student body elected me Vice President of student council, and class president. I served as editor of the newspaper, represented the Speech and Debate Clubs in interscholastic contests, and lettered in baseball, track and football.

I would like to improve Adams' physical plant by immediate repair of the vending machines.

(Continued on Page 11)

Image of Student Government

and placing of message and phone call boards on each floor. The television should be fixed; if not, the TV room should be converted to a study room. To improve academic atmosphere, I propose an inter-hall tutoring system.

Crawford Rep.

BRIAN BUZZELL

Students living in a dorm are entitled to regular open houses both on weekends and weekday evenings. I am against an increase in dorm fees. We have a right to more than just a room for the money we are paying; new T.V. room, study lounges, and recreation equipment.

I definitely believe that men should be allowed on the dorm council the first semester of their freshman year. In our new dorm next year, I am in favor of having a study lounge on each floor.

My qualifications include: Chairman of George Washington Blood Drive for G.I.'s in South Viet Nam, Dean's Council on Academic Affairs and Student-Professor Relations in Dormitories, 1965 Homecoming Committee, a Committee on Commuter Affairs and membership in the Sigma Chi fraternity. I have a 2.14 QPI.



B. Buzzell



J. Sammartino

JOHN SAMMARTINO

As Chairman of the Inter-Dormitory Relations Committee for Crawford, I presented a report which may be used in the reorganization of the Dorm Council. I have attended Dorm Council meetings as a proxy, committee chairman, and interested observer. I also was active on the Homecoming Committee.

I was Student Council President of my high school, a member of National Honor Society, and a recipient of a scholarship for service to my school. I was also named "Best Leader" and "Most Dependable" by my senior class.

I feel that if I am elected, my main obligation will be to represent all the residents of Crawford. I have visited all the men's dorms, evaluated their facilities, and have many recommendations for the recreational and snack facilities in these dorms.

I hope to work with Dean Bissell and Mr. Smith in improving the present facilities and the con-

version of the All-States Hotel to make best use of the available facilities. I also propose a modification of the food plan to allow students who skip breakfast to purchase a lunch-dinner meal ticket. I am an independent freshman with a 2.43 QPI and am majoring in international affairs.

MIKE WOLLY

I am a freshman international affairs major with a 2.94 QPI. I am running independent of any organization, the fraternity coalition, SBC, or whatever, because I believe that this office should not be responsible to any outside group but rather to the dorm itself.

This does not mean, however, that I am anti-fraternity or anti-independent organization.

My qualifications include 4 years of service on a high school council, National Honor Society,



M. Wolly

and many awards and executive positions for high school and community service. Presently I am serving the dorm on the cultural and publications committees and have participated in many dorm activities.

My platform consists of buses to all home athletic events, an improved student directory, an incentive writing program for The POTOMAC, change machines in the dorms, and easier to attain stack privileges in the library.

Calhoun Rep.

PAUL PANITZ

As a freshman planning to major in economics, I have a 2.88 QPI. I am not affiliated with any fraternity.

The most pressing issue with most students is the rising cost of tuition. I believe that the Student Council should take an integral part in working for a stable tuition. Of special interest to my dorm, I would like to focus Student Council pressure on the Administration for a new elevator in Calhoun Hall. Only last week, the elevator broke down three times in one day.

At GW, I have no experience with Student Council. In high school, I held various positions, including treasurer, homeroom representative, athletic committee chairman, and delegate to a county student government association.

Presently I am on the features staff of the HATCHET. I recently finished an editorial series for the paper entitled "The Crisis Papers 1965."

GARY PASSMORE

Having attended another college through my sophomore

year, my experience in student life has been both at GW and the former institution.

I was on the Student Council, a member of its executive board, a member of Canterbury Association, Outstanding Humanities Student, and named to the College Who's Who. I maintained an overall QPI of 3.76.

At GW I am president of Calhoun Hall, second vice-president of the YD's, and a member of the HATCHET staff. I am not a fraternity member. My QPI is 2.00.

Basically, I would like to see



P. Panitz

more attention paid to dormitory residents: better vending service, open upperclass dorms, a workable compromise on the lab animal issue, and a partial meal plan.

If elected to the Council, I would push for the creation of a Dean of Students, an inter-dorm council, longer reading periods, and relaxed class attendance rules.

In closing I feel that I can provide effective leadership, and justly represent the residents of Calhoun Hall.

Strong Rep.

JOHN STONE

I am an independent graduate student in hospital administration. My first semester QPI at GW was 3.5. I have demonstrated fine leadership qualities throughout my educational life. I have held offices in the Alpha



J. Stone

Kappa Psi and was active in student body activities in my undergraduate career. I feel that it is imperative to be completely impartial in all voting matters dealing with student welfare and therefore have decided to run as an independent candidate.

Welling Rep.

BOB DETORE

I am president of Welling Hall Dorm Council, chairman of the GW for the USA Pro-Vietnam Petition Program, and a member of the Hatchet sports staff. I

belong to Young Democrats, the Newman Club, and Students for Better Government, and am a junior in Pre-Med with an Ameri-



B. Detore

can Thought and Civilization major with a 2.16 QPI.

The Student Council must vigorously promote our athletic program. We should instill school spirit by campus wide publication of all games, providing awards for greatest dormitory and organization game attendance, and by providing inexpensive transportation for games. The Student Council should also sponsor victory dances.

The Notre Dame Grading Plan should be implemented at the University. This plan stipulates that minus, middle, and plus grades receive .0, .3, and .6 quality points respectively. A C plus average for example will be equivalent to a 2.6 QPI.

Tuition rises are a great burden on all students and the University should assure freshmen that their basic tuition charge will not be raised for their four years.

Madison Rep.

DOREEN SHADDIX

I am a junior majoring in business administration. I attend GW on a Trustee Scholarship and have an overall QPI of 3.09.

I have participated in many activities. I am a member of Delta Gamma sorority where I have served as historian, activities chairman, and corresponding secretary. I am a member of Tassels and am co-member chairman of Big Sis. This year, I was awarded a Student Council certificate of appreciation for my work on Homecoming Committee.

Experience with dorm living and dorm council is one of my main qualifications for Madison Hall Representative. In my freshman year, I was social chairman of my dorm, in my sophomore year I was a representative on hall council, and this year I am publicity chairman of Madison Hall. I am more than willing to voice the opinion of the girls, which I hope to get through personal discussions and dorm council, not only on matters pertaining to the dorm, but also on issues concerning the University as a whole.



D. Shaddix

J. Yager

JOAN YAGER

I was on freshman council (NYU), Secretary of Tassels, HATCHET, Young Democrats, Freshman Orientation Committee, Big Sis and Phi Sigma Sorority. I am a junior majoring in Sociology with a QPI of 3.31.

As the intermediary between Madison Hall and the Student Council, I hope to bring about greater interest and participation among residents of the dorm. Unfortunately, many upperclass women lose interest in school affairs as graduation approaches.

I plan to alleviate this problem through a two-step process. First, I will have a copy of the Student Council minutes made

available to the residence hall, with important Student Council decisions discussed or explained in Dorm Council.

Secondly, I want to broaden the function of the Dorm Council by using it as a sounding board for student ideas and/or complaints. In addition, I am in favor of initiating an honorary dormitory system whereby senior women residents would have no curfew and would be given keys to enter the dorm at any time.

Superdorm Rep.

No. 1,2,3

MARTHA KANTOR

My name is Martha Kantor and I'm a candidate for Student Council as representative from the first three floors of Superdorm. I am a sophomore, social studies major, with a QPI of 2.34. I'm an independent.

Last year I was on the committee that brought the Fine Arts Festival to the dorm. This year I serve on Hall Council. My experience on the Novice and Varsity Debate Teams will prove valuable in presenting the views of the girls I hope to represent.

This year I worked with freshmen as a Big Sis and a Welcome Week Hostess. I was the only Freshman girl to receive an award from Hillel for leadership potential. I also belong to Young Democrats and People-to-People.

As a member of the Student Faculty Liaison Committee on Academic Affairs, on which I will serve if elected, I will do my best to study, investigate, and hear grievances and suggestions concerning boarding students. I would hope to correlate these problems with others faced by the student body brought before Student Council.



M. Kantor

C. Murphy

CHRISTINE MURPHY

I am a sophomore political science major with a 3.44 QPI. I served as secretary of Tassels, assistant pledge trainer of Kappa Kappa Gamma social sorority, and assistant publicity chairman of Booster Board. Also, I have worked on the professor evaluation survey, Big Sis, and dorm publicity.

My major concern is more responsive representation by Student Council members. Specifically, our present "council-by-proxy" must be ended. Representatives are elected to serve.


Proxies should not excuse absences, and four absences should make a member liable to review by the Student Life Committee. In addition, more effective financial control must be established over dependent student organizations (eg. Booster Board, Homecoming Committee).

More careful direction is the only way to eliminate present repetitive incurrence of debt. Finally I feel representatives must increase communication with their electorate, and so I promise to be available every Tuesday afternoon for suggestions and complaints.

GENE SOLOMON

The Student Council is highly respected by the University administration but the communication between the student body and the Student Council is faulty; it can be greatly improved by more personal contact between the representative and his constituents.

(Continued on page B16)



Boy Wonder?

ROBIN KAYE
for
Freshman
Director

JOHN BRALOVE

represents the

Campus
community
commuter

Vote for him for
D.C. Representative 2



IF ELECTED, I PROMISE TO... Present Student Council members are (from left to right): Freshman Director Rick Harrison, Lower Columbian Representative Lou Colaguri, Comptroller Murray Levy, Vice-President Ken

All Candidates Made Promises —

by Marsha Sprinz
Features Editor

CAMPAIGN PROMISES are inevitable when election time rolls around. Fulfillment of these promises by the victorious candidates, however, is not always so inevitable.

An evaluation of this year's Student Council officers, based on the campaign statements of the present Council members run in the HATCHET (Feb. 9, 1965) during last year's election campaign and their actions throughout their terms follows.

RICK HARRISON, freshman director, is running for Council president. He advocated the formulation of a working plan for orientation by mail during the summer in his campaign. Last summer complete packets were sent out by Harrison to all freshmen included on a list he received from the admissions office. According to Harrison, some freshmen did not receive packets because they were not on the list he was given.

Plans for orientation during the summer have been advocated by Harrison during his term of office. A summer orientation program is now being planned for 1966 through a joint committee of faculty, administrators and students. Although the summer orientation schedule is a good start more might have been accomplished if greater cooperation had existed between Harrison and the Administration when the planning was begun.

The introduction of department seminars during orientation was also promised by Harrison. What constitutes "department seminars" is unclear. Four book discussions were held during orientation.

These discussions failed to draw a large turnout although those students who did attend were pleased.

A third promise, a separate Council program to orient freshmen to extra-curricular activities, was carried out last fall by having campus leaders speak to the freshmen at orientation assemblies.

Harrison has the best attendance record on the Council never having missed a Council meeting, including those held during the summer.

Harrison's major weakness over the past year has been his failure at times to coordinate his work with that of several members of the Administration. The blame rests not with Harrison alone. Both these Administrators and Harrison are at fault.

RALPH GREBOW, chairman of the Student Union Board, is running for the vice presidency of the Council.

Two of Grebow's campaign promises were the formation of a committee of both contract and non-contract students to look into the improvement of the quantity, quality and variety of Slater's food and obtaining a partial meal ticket for commuter students.

Neither the portions served by Slater's nor the quality of the food has noticeably improved. There has been an improvement in the variety of the food. Besides two choices for a hot lunch, -- or a salad plate, sandwiches, hot dogs and hamburgers are now served at lunch every day.

The partial meal plan was never put through. When Grebow was asked why his promise for the meal plan was not fulfilled he claimed that Slater's did not care about the students and that the Administration was more interested in their government contract which obligates all women in Superdorm to be on the meal plan, than in the student's welfare. However, it appears that Grebow put only a half-hearted effort into trying to carry out his pledge.

His half-hearted approach to the job of Student Union Board chairman was recently demonstrated again before finals. Grebow stated at a Council meeting in January that it was impossible to have the University keep the Union open 24 hours a day during finals. He said the business manager of the University "would not budge." Yet, with a few telephone calls from another member of the student body not only was the Union kept open 24 hours a day during the exam period, but coffee was also provided free by Slater's after 10 pm.

Grebow explained that the Uni-

versity had refused to keep the Union open around the clock during the finals period last spring and he assumed that the University's position had not changed. Therefore, he did not attempt to get the Union opened for last semester's finals week.

In his final report submitted to the Council on Feb. 2, Grebow listed as an accomplishment a poll of the likes and dislikes of Slater's food he took among resident students. This "poll" consisted of Grebow going up to the second floor of the Student Union and haphazardly asking students what they thought of Slater's.

Less overcrowding was listed as another accomplishment. Lines extending into the hall on the second floor of the Union

running for program director. Colaguri came out in favor of an activities fee during his campaign. An activities fee is now being considered by the University.

Colaguri has shown an eagerness to work for the students. Besides his duties as Lower Columbian representative, he has taken on additional Council responsibilities including chairing a committee to investigate the feasibility of expanding parking facilities or establishing car pools for students. Colaguri also served as chairman of the committee which investigated the Planning Commission and recommended its abolishment.

Representative Colaguri's record speaks for itself. Absent

organization." (HATCHET, Sept. 22, 1965)

Under Levy the keeping of financial records has improved. Financial reports from chairmen of Student Council events such as Homecoming have been checked by Levy for accuracy and turned back to the chairmen when corrections were needed. The comptroller has presented accurate, itemized and up to date financial statements at Council meetings.

Levy has missed one meeting with proxy.

SKIP GNEHM, Council president, advocated registration reforms, including a system of pre-registration, during his campaign. The new system of alphabetical registration, tried for the first time this semester, is a significant improvement over past registration procedures. A partial system of pre-registration, for example in Political Science 9 and 10 and English 2 and 4, was used this semester and seems to have cut down in overcrowding during registration.

The advising program was described by Gnehm as "the crudest such system ever perpetuated by an institution of higher learning." The Council President suggested that graduate students or residence hall assistants be used as advisors, but this proposal has not been implemented.

Gnehm favored the establishment of lockers and lounge facilities for all full-time commuting students. Although some lockers are now provided, most full-time commuters still cannot obtain locker space. This is probably not the fault of Gnehm. Space and money for additional lockers is the chief obstacle.

Commenting on an activities fee, Gnehm said "until the students decide firmly that they want one, no representative body should ram it down their throats."

A mandatory activities fee is now in the planning stage.

Gnehm supported a combination of dorm and school representatives. Under the reorganized Council dorm and school representatives are provided.

"Community service programs are important if the University is to maintain its position in the community it must take an interest in it and make a positive contribution," Gnehm stated. Through SERVE community service has expanded, but the Community Service Committee of the Council has been abolished. Gnehm explained that SERVE has taken over the functions of the



GARY LITTMAN, treasurer of the Homecoming Committee, explains to the Student Council why his final report was three months overdue.

does not indicate a significant breakthrough in the problem of overcrowding.

The increased facilities on the fourth floor of the Union have helped to alleviate the problem of study space. This and the increased lunch choices are the only noticeable changes under the chairmanship of Grebow. The Student Union Board chairman has not sufficiently exploited the resources of his office.

Grebow missed five Council meetings with proxy.

LOU COLAGURI, Lower Columbian representative, is

from one Council meeting during the year, he is backed by both the coalition and Students for Better Government.

MURRAY LEVY, comptroller of the Council is running for treasurer. Levy was appointed comptroller by Student Council President Gnehm, after Virgil Mattingly resigned.

After being appointed Levy stated that he hoped "to take a stronger role in the allocation and disbursement of money to the different activities of the Council in order to insure intelligent fiscal planning by each

PARTIAL MEAL PLAN
STUDENT BUS DISCOUNT

Rothstein

VOTE

Virginia
Representative

Vote

NED STUDHOLME

for Upper Columbian

And

"Let's Get What We're Paying For"



West, President Skip Gnehm, and Secretary Marietta Bernot. For an analysis of the fulfillment of campaign promises by these outgoing Council members, see story below.

Which Ones Remembered Them?

Committee. Perhaps if the Council committee had functioned better an organization such as SERVE would not have been needed.

President Gnehm has tried to put the presidency and the Council in active roles in the University. He has set up bi-weekly luncheons between President Elliott and students and presented the letter of student complaints, signed by student leaders to the Board of Trustees last spring. After this letter was submitted students were invited to a meeting with the Board for the first time in the history of the University. Gnehm did a far better job than his predecessor and brought important improvements to students. However, as his term of office went on, his enthusiasm waned. How much more he might have accomplished if he had maintained his initial enthusiasm and had had more help from certain Council members is worth speculating.

Gnehm has missed one Council meeting without proxy.

KEN WEST, vice president of the Council, favored student forums and a series of speakers such as Dean Rusk and James Baldwin. He also supported the sponsorship of more activities to help meet "the social needs of independents." None of these have been accomplished.

West also wanted to continue and expand the discount service. Last semester the discount service was abolished.

Relaxed dorm regulations, permitting men to visit the girls' dorms, were also part of West's platform. Frequent open houses have been held since September.

Vice President West is a puzzle. He seems to be highly capable but unwilling to play more than a figurehead role on the Council.

West has missed seven Council meetings: five with proxy and two without.

BOB WALLACE, Council advocate, supported pre-registration for students in their major and a more efficient registration plan. Registration procedures have improved but students still cannot pre-register in most departments.

The extension of final exam period to insure that no student had more than one exam a day was another part of Wallace's program. Nothing has been done by the Advocate in this direction.

Wallace promised to publicize election procedures well in advance and this was done. Unfortunately, Advocate Wallace was out of town on a ski holiday Jan. 27, when petitioning for Council offices was scheduled to open. President Gnehm was compelled to postpone petitioning until Wallace returned. Well, better late than never.

Wallace's performance thus far as head of the elections com-

mittee has been a confused muddle.

Wallace also opposed a compulsory activities fee and wanted to have the dorm meal plan made optional.

Wallace has missed eight Council meetings: five with proxy and three without.

MARIETTA BERNOT, Council secretary, was for the recommendation of an activities fee.

Throughout the year Miss Bernot has done an efficient job as secretary. With two absences from Council meetings, both with proxy, the Secretary has one of the best attendance records on Council.

VIRGIL MATTINGLY, who resigned as comptroller on Sept. 17, wanted stricter, more direct

tary meal plan, week-end curfew hours for co-eds who remain in the dorm during vacations, a two-week final exam reading period and more University sponsored recreation activities.

Ullrich was absent from eight Council meetings: five with proxy and three without.

BRIAN O'DWYER, member-at-large, came out for the sponsorship of more non-social activities.

O'Dwyer was absent from seven Council meetings. His proxy, Brian O'Neill seems to have done more for the students than O'Dwyer himself. In fact, O'Neill received a certificate of appreciation from the Council for his work in having tables and chairs added on the fourth floor of the Union.

TOM METZ was appointed program director on Nov. 17 when the office became vacant. Metz has been compiling the results of a survey he took on student's musical preferences. The Program Director hopes to use this survey to help in the selection of talent for future Council-sponsored concerts.

Metz is in charge of organizing the leadership conference for Student Council members. The purpose of the all-day conference, scheduled for Feb. 19 at the Airline House in Warrenton, Va., is to acquaint new Council members with the responsibilities of their offices and with their role as student representatives.

In office only two and a half months, an attempt at a complete evaluation of Metz's work would be unfair. However, it seems safe to say that Metz has been one of the more active Council members and has done a good job in his short term of office.

Metz was absent from two Council meetings: one with proxy and one without.

JOHN FRIDLINGTON, served as both program director and activities director on the present Council. Elected to the post of program director, Fridlington was moved up to activities director after Bruce Jetton, the elected activities director, resigned. Metz then filled Fridlington's previous post.

During his campaign Fridlington came out for a better arrangement of special University concerts in order to eliminate conflicts with cultural programs of other area schools. Fridlington also wanted a more efficient arrangement of inter-university activities.

He has missed two Council meetings with proxy.

BRUCE JETTON, a junior, resigned as activities director in November after receiving early acceptance from medical school. Jetton, who will be in medical school next fall, resigned his post

because of the heavier work load he would be carrying during his remaining undergraduate semesters.

Jetton campaigned for the expansion of student discounts under Campus Combo. During his tenure, Jetton worked on the discount service. He also campaigned for more lockers for commuters.

Better publicity for activities, co-ordination between the Council and dorm activities, departmental pre-registration, more student activities and better freshman orientation were other parts of Jetton's platform.

Jetton missed four meetings: three with proxy and one without.

SAM GILSTON, publicity director, promised to provide more bulletin boards, to aid all small

JOEL MEINECKE, who resigned as School of Government representative to enter law school this semester wanted the use of WRGW to be extended. This year WRGW is heard in the Student Union and in the Washington area at 680 on the dial.

Meinecke also favored a guest lecture series and the formation of a journal of opinion for students.

Although conscientious in fulfilling assignments delegated to him by the Council, Meinecke failed to implement either of his campaign promises.

Meinecke missed one Council meeting without proxy.

MIKE ENZI was appointed to complete Meinecke's term on Jan. 26.

BOB MULLEN, School of Engineering representative, came out for increased cooperation between the School of Engineering and the rest of the University. He also wanted more activities to be geared for the independent and commuter students. Neither of these things have been done.

Mullen has compiled the highest record of absences from Council meetings. He has missed eleven meetings: eight with proxy and three without.

ROBERTA BAYANE is the School of Education representative. Miss Bayane has missed six Council meetings: three with proxy and three without.

STEVE MANDY, the Medical School representative, has missed five meetings without proxy.

TOM NOONAN, foreign students representative appointed by the Council, has missed six Council meetings: one with proxy and five without.



RALPH GREBOW listens to the proceedings at Wednesday's Council meeting.

financial control than he felt had been exercised by his predecessor.

He also opposed an activities fee, and said "if it has to come, let it come from the Administration."

Mattingly resigned from the Council, "due to (his) heavy schedule of classes," after his name was submitted to the Student Life Committee for review when he had missed five meetings without proxy.

Totally, Mattingly missed seven Council meetings: two with proxy and five without.

RON ULLRICH, Council treasurer, wanted the Book Exchange overhauled. This year the disorganization of the exchange is as bad as ever.

The Treasurer also advocated more cooperation between the treasurer and comptroller. No significant improvement occurred until Comptroller Levy was appointed. Whether previous difficulties in keeping Council financial records were the fault of the treasurer or Levy's predecessor is not discernable.

Ullrich also favored a volun-



BOB WALLACE, Student Council Advocate.

organizations, to include publicity for school activities in area newspapers and to inform alumni of activities in order to improve relations with graduates.

Is this OUR Sam Gilston?

Gilston missed five Council meetings: three with proxy and two without.

JOHN GORDON, Upper Columbian representative, advocated taking a more active interest in the intellectual and social aspects of the University. He also favored increasing the use of Lisner Auditorium and broadening the scope of programs presented there.

Representative Gordon is past chairman of the Cultural Foundation. BROADSIDE, the cultural foundation's publication, failed to appear at all last semester.

Gordon has missed nine Council meetings: six with proxy and three without.



GNEHM FILLS the air with promises in last year's campaign.



RICK HARRISON, presidential candidate, examines the qualifications of the more attractive of the two vice-presidential candidates, Linda Moore. Miss Moore's campaign manager, Nick Bazan, looks on jealously.



FORMER Council president Victor Clark, now just an interested student, has a friendly chat with Joe Farina and his campaign manager Murry Cohen.

The Rise and Fall of

Political Parties on GW Campus

by Bill Warren

THE LAST DECADE of politics on campus has not shown any de-

crease in coalition domination in the struggle for Student Council offices, though the period has not been without its struggles of a non-coalition nature.

The fall of 1956 began with a move to repeal the amendment to the Articles of Student Government, passed the previous spring, which moved the time of Student Council elections from April and May to February. A petition signed by 150 students called for a revote, and on Dec. 18, 1956 the referendum was held. By a vote of 152 to 78, the date was moved back to late spring.

The following April (1957), the HATCHET raised a furor on campus by endorsing candidates in a front-page box, entitled the "In-former's Slate" which listed the choices in a slate type arrangement. A statement in the HATCHET defended the endorsements as the privilege to "use its position as a mold of public opinion." The Student Council protested the move, however, in an open letter, stating that it believed that the newspaper should be "neutral."

Apparently the HATCHET was either a good guesser or it was well-read, for 15 of the 16 endorsed candidates won that year (1957). The next year, however, it yielded to the pressure on placing its endorsements on the front page; the choices appeared on the editorial page in paragraph form.

During this period the political struggle had been carried on by two opposing greek coalitions, composed of fraternities and sororities. The first group was composed of Sigma Alpha Epsilon, Sigma Chi, Tau Epsilon Phi, Kappa Alpha, Acacia, Delta Gamma, Pi Beta Phi and Zeta Tau Alpha. Group two included Pi Kappa Alpha, Alpha Epsilon Pi, Phi Sigma Kappa, Delta Tau Delta, Sigma Nu, Kappa Kappa Gamma, Chi Omega, and Kappa Alpha Theta.

The competition among these groups must have been quite intense, for in the election of May, 1958, 1635 students turned out to vote; this was 20 per cent of the student body.

The year 1958-'59 saw the formation of the Colonial Campus Party, the first political party that had been established on campus in many years. It was composed mainly of the greeks of group two. CCP's platform in-

cluded responsible student government, improved University-wide facilities, better student information services, a check-cashing service, formation and recognition of Young Democrats and Young Republicans on campus, and a rather nebulous statement calling for "responsible progress" on campus.

In opposition to this party, an independent group calling itself the Inde-ans formed up for the election. It was formed because, according to the director, it was feared that the CCP would be nothing more than "a league of women voters."

The HATCHET supported the idea of a second party, but questioned the lateness of its formation.

Apparently the two-party system boosted the interest in elections, for in the spring of 1959, 2,048 students, 25 per cent of the student body, voted. But the lateness of the Inde-ans in forming was apparently their undoing, all of the five candidates on their slate came in last and the Inde-ans quietly disappeared.

The next year, 1959-'60, showed CCP to be the only official political voice. However, it came under fire as being run by party bosses. Much discussion also took place over the value of a one-party system, and if it was acceptable to have one party, was the CCP the one party to have?

By this time, CCP included not only group two, but also most of group one. This broad coalition kept interest at a low level with seven offices going unopposed that year. The CCP gained a majority on the Student Council, however, the Presidency went to Dave Aaronson of AEPi who had failed to get the CCP nomination and then decided to run against the CCP nominee Stan Hackman, also of AEPi. Also, of the ten CCP members who had opposition, only two were elected.

This obvious weakness of the Colonial Campus Party brought about the birth of a new party in September, 1960. Originally formed by a split with the CCP, the new group called itself the Student Committee for Better Politics.

The first meeting of the new party produced about fifty people. The name was shortly afterward changed to Students for Better Government (not the same group now existing on campus.)

A vacancy of the office of member-at-large on the Student Council in the fall of 1960, furnished the first and only direct confrontation between the two parties. The election in December (See Political Parties, page B16)

5.5 per Member

SC Members Record 99 Absences in Year

AN AVERAGE OF 15.88 per cent of the Council has been absent at each meeting.

Ninety-nine absences for 31 Council meetings have been compiled by this year's Council members. Council absences come to 5.5 per Council position. An average of 3.19 members were absent per meeting.

Bob Mullen, School of Engineering representative, leads with eleven absences; eight with proxy and three without.

Rick Harrison, freshman director, has the best attendance record. Harrison has not missed a single meeting.

Under the present Articles of Student Government a Council officer is automatically brought before the Committee on Student Life for censure and possible removal after missing four meetings without proxy. No limit is set on the number of meetings a member can miss with proxy.

A proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section B of the Articles of Student Government, to be voted on during the Student Council elections this Thursday and Friday, would automatically place before the Committee on Student Life any Council member who had missed four meetings with proxy.

If this amendment is passed members would be limited to a maximum of six absences, three with proxy and three without,

before they would come before the Committee on Student Life.

The following members of the present Council would have been brought before the Committee on Student Life for censure if the proposed amendment had been in effect: Ken West, vice president; Bob Wallace, advocate; Virgil Mattingly, who resigned as comptroller; Ron Ullrich, treasurer; Brian O'Dwyer, member-at-large; Ralph Grebow, Student Union Board chairman; Bob Mullen, School of Engineering representative; John Gordon, Upper Columbian representative; and Steve Mandy, Medical School representative.

The attendance record of the Council follows:

	W/ PROXY	W/O PROXY
Skip Gnehm	0	1
Ken West	5	2
Bob Wallace	5	3
Marietta Bernot	2	0
Virgil Mattingly	2	5
Murray Levy	1	0
Ron Ullrich	5	3
Brian O'Dwyer	6	1
Tom Metz	1	1
Bruce Jetton	3	1
John Fridlington	2	0
Sam Gilston	3	2
Richard Harrison	0	0
Ralph Grebow	5	0
Roberta Bayane	3	3
Joel Meinecke	0	1
Mike Enzi	1	0
Bob Mullen	8	3
John Gordon	6	3
Lou Colaguori	0	1
Tom Noonan	1	5
Steve Mandy	0	5
Total Absences	59	40

JOAN YAGER FOR MADISON HALL REPRESENTATIVE

Support For Dr. Elliott Parking Reforms-Mo. rate

Rothstein

Virginia Representative

JULIAN LOUBE

TO REPRESENT

MD COMMUTER STUDENTS



Don't Blow Your Cool. Don't Be A Fool. Join Car Pool. SMART. D.C. COMMUTERS FOR STUART KIEHL

M O O R E

Action

in

'66

VOTE

Linda Moore
Vice President
of
Student
Government

VOTE BILL SROLE

M D

R E P

FINK

But After Elections-What Will Be Done?

tituents. This would be my specific goal.

After serving on my high school student council and class cabinet for six years I have much experience in this area. Upon coming to GW in September I have become active in the YD's and have been elected to my hall council. My QPI is 2.23. I am an independent.

My platform consists of six major points: 1) reforming of dress regulations to allow slacks for daily breakfasts and Sunday brunch; 2) the extension of curfew during periods in which classes are suspended; and 3) the expansion and opening of the stacks in the University library.

Also, 4) formation of a complete test file open to all University students to be housed in the library; 5) the extension of health care facilities to cover student emergencies for a 24 hour period; 6) contract tuition plan guaranteeing stable tuition costs until the termination of the students undergraduate education at GW.



G. Solomon S. Thevenet

SUE THEVENET

I, Sue Thevenet, am a second semester independent sophomore with a QPI of 2.2. I am majoring in political science, and am a member of the C-Hall Dorm Council.

I served as co-chairman of Superdorm's Homecoming Float Committee, have been active in Young Dems, Big Sis and United Christian Fellowship. As a senior in high school, I attended the N.J. Leadership Training Conference, sponsored by Rutgers University.

I sponsor the adoption of a program in which students on meal plan would be given several free meal coupons to be used for individual dinner discussions with professors.

Through my past participation in student activities, I feel I have shown a continuing interest in student life and government.

Superdorm Rep. No. 4,5

JESSICA DUNSA

GW is rapidly becoming a residential school. Those of us who live at the University have a special interest in its progress, because, for nine months of the year, this is our home.

"Superdorm" represents the largest single block of resident students. It is not merely a dormitory, but has become a center of campus activity. The wants and needs of its residents cannot be ignored by our student government.

Coordination of Student Council-dormitory events and closer responsibility of the Council to its constituents are my basic goals. My most valuable contribution would be to approach all Council matters with the attitude of a resident of the New Women's Residence Hall.

I am a sophomore and am a member of Sigma Delta Tau. My QPI is 3.18 and my current major is political science.

My qualifications are: President of "E" Hall, Chairman of the Executive Board (policy-making body for all women's dorms), Student Council reporter for the HATCHET, Alpha Lambda Delta, Tassels, and past Scholarship Advisor to the fifth floor.

Superdorm Rep. No. 6,7

TOVA INDRITZ

I have had the privilege of serving the University in many ways in the last year and a half. As co-editor of the first Orientation HATCHET, I had the opportunity to participate actively in Freshman Orientation. The student body president appointed me to serve on the University Center Committee when I was a freshman.

I have served on my dorm council for the past two years and am currently a member of Tassels, Big Sis, and Women's Recreation Association Council, and am secretary of Students for Better Government. I am a sophomore sociology major, an independent, and have an overall QPI of 3.38.

I hope to be an active representative of the girls on the sixth and seventh floors of the Women's Residence Hall. All of us should be aware of Student Council events, plans, and activities. A Council bulletin board in the dorm could publicize the minutes, opportunities for committee positions, and coming events as well as local cultural opportunities.



T. Indritz J. Jaslow

JULIE JASLOW

The New Women's Residence Hall's sixth and seventh floors need a good representative to bring about the changes you desire. If elected, I intend to advocate these ideas: more vending machines, including an ice cream machine, on every floor; and weekend curfews on week nights during vacations.

I will also work for more mixers between our dorm and the men's dorms and other schools; more intra- and inter-dorm activities (for example, intramurals between floors). Through these ideas, Superdorm should become more like a dormitory and less like a hotel.

This year, my post as publicity chairman of the seventh floor Hall Council attests to my interest in Superdorm residents. Furthermore, my work on the HATCHET indicates my interest in University activities on the whole. Such interests are necessary, for I would not only be a representative of my floors, but a member of the Student Council as well.

Superdorm Rep. No. 8,9

RHONDA BILLIG

I am a second semester freshman and have completed 17 credit hours at George Washington University. My QPI is 2.35 and my major is political science. I am an independent.

I am serving as a hall council representative for the ninth floor of Superdorm. I have also served as a member of the Student Union Board committee. In my first two weeks here, I worked with the orientation committee.

I am in favor of activities and regulations which I believe to be advantageous to residents of Superdorm: more dormitory social events and coordination of inter-floor activities and relaxation of dress regulations in the dorm (this is a college, not a finishing school). Also I favor key privileges, extension of holiday curfew to correspond to weekend curfews, i.e. Christmas, inter-session and overnight sign-out

during finals week.

I would like to be given the opportunity to work for the girls of Superdorm and to improve our living conditions in any possible way.



R. Billing V. Goff

VIKI GOFF

I am a junior transfer majoring in International Affairs. I have an over-all 3.0 QPI and have twice made Dean's List. During the past semester I have had the opportunity to become acquainted with dormitory and University problems through my membership on Dorm Council and Judicial Board and my work as Assistant News Editor of the HATCHET.

I have also served on the Homecoming Publicity Committee and Holiday Season. I am affiliated with Chi Omega sorority.

If elected I would encourage more and varied social and

cultural opportunities for the residents such as "Coffee Cup" type series and regularly scheduled mixers. I would also like to see the Student Council foster more student participation in University activities and close communication between the dorm representatives and residents.

Finally, young women of college age are responsible enough to determine their own standards of dress within the dorm. Likewise, weekend hours should be in effect for women students during the vacations. I feel these goals are not unrealistic and can be achieved.

LINDA SCHULTZ

I, Linda Kay Schultz, am running for the office of Representative to the Student Council from Superdorm -- 8th & 9th floors.

I am presently serving as Secretary of the 8th floor hall council and am a member of the Judicial Board. My experience with Student Councils comes from my service as treasurer in High School. I am a freshman with a QPI of 3.31, working for a major in History. I am an independent.

My program, if elected, includes three major objectives: (1) I would work to change the length of curfews for the women during inter-session, and of

exams, before holidays, and vacation periods. (2) I would investigate continuing the meal plan at the Student Union next year to prevent crowding of the Women's Dining Hall. (3) I would make the Student Council proceedings easily available to the girls and set up a reciprocating system of ideas between the girls and me as their representative.

I feel that my qualities of reliability and willingness to work are necessary in an effective Representative. I would like the chance to exercise them by representing the 8th and 9th floors.

School of Ed.

The two candidates are: Kay Kelly and Richard Mooch.

The HATCHET was unable to contact either candidate.

Cherryll Neil, who was running for School of Education representative was disqualified by the elections committee because she is not enrolled in the School of Education. Under the Articles of Student Government a school representative must be enrolled in the school when he runs and throughout the term of office.

Petitioning for School of Education representative was extended until 12 noon yesterday.

Political Parties

SBG Makes Campus Entry

(Continued from page 14)

was won by an SBG.

This election was the last for CCP, which faded out of existence before the spring, 1961 elections. SBG weakened before spring also, and did not propose a slate of candidates, although candidates ran independently with the party label.

In the 1960-'61 elections, an "era of good feeling" had developed among the Greeks. All the major organizations had a candidate and nine offices went unopposed. Almost all of the offices were won by Greeks.

The next year, 1962, again saw the Greeks capture all the offices, mostly for lack of opposition.

A reorganization in May of 1962 moved the Council elections to February. Consequently, the Council elected that year served only one semester.

For the final three years, the offices have mainly been distributed among the coalition fraternities. In February of 1963, the presidency went to an AEP1, the next year to an SAE and last year to a Sigma Chi.

In the fall of 1964, however, another independent party was formed, again called Students for Better Government. In the elections of February, 1965, candidates with SBG backing ran for almost every office.

The leadership of SBG became increasingly radical, forcing several of its candidates to divest themselves of it to keep from being branded anti-Greek.

A number of the candidates endorsed by SBG were elected, but many of these had been merely endorsed and had no connection or loyalty to the group.

This year, SBG has been officially recognized as a campus political party and has adjusted its programs to a more conservative and above-board operation. SBG held the first campus po-

litical convention in several years Feb. 1, to endorse candidates for the present Council elections.

If SBG can overcome the factionalism within its ranks, it could become an effective political voice in the future. If not, it may well follow, in the footsteps of the other parties that have existed on campus.

SC Duties Outlined

(Continued from page 8)

SCHOOL REPRESENTATIVES: School of Education, School of Engineering, School of Government, and Medical School. The school representatives must be enrolled in the schools they represent and are the spokesmen before the Council for the students of their school.

COMMUTER REPRESENTATIVES: Two D.C. Commuter representatives, two Maryland commuter representatives, and two Virginia commuter representatives. The commuter representatives are spokesmen for the commuter students in the districts from which they were elected.

RESIDENCE HALL REPRESENTATIVES: Adams Hall, Calhoun Hall, Crawford Hall, Madison Hall, New Residence Hall for Women, Strong Hall, and Welling Hall.

One representative is chosen for every 250 students residing in a dorm. The dorm representatives are the spokesmen for the hall residents before the Council.

GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS: It is the responsibility of all members of the Student Council to perform any duties assigned by the Student Council president concerning special projects.

It is the further duty of each member of the Student Council to keep an accurate, concise record of his Council activities throughout his term of office and to pass on these records to his successor.

Each Council member is also expected to send a substitute to every regular meeting of the Student Council which he himself cannot attend.



ATTENTION IS focused on the lengthening list of petitioners—lengthening finally to a record 82.